Research Article

From Phylogenetic Trees to Economic Strategies: Evolutionary Kinship for Sustainable Innovation

Claudio Ruy Vasconcelos da Fonseca[1]* and Estevão Vicente Monteiro de Paula[2]

[1]*National Institute of Amazonian Research – INPA, Biodiversity Coordination, Manaus-Amazonas, Brazil*
[2]*University of Amazon State – UEA, Manaus-Amazonas, Brazil*

SHRINE PUBLISHERS
Crafting your Achievement

Shrine Journal of Research and Sciences (SJRS)

ISSN: 3069-2032

Volume 3 Issue 1, 2026

Article Information
Received date: January 15, 2026
Published date: January 28, 2026

***Corresponding author**
Claudio Ruy Vasconcelos da Fonseca, National Institute of Amazonian Research – INPA, Biodiversity Coordination, Manaus-Amazonas, Brazil

DOI: 10.65070/SJRS.2026.817

Keywords
Phylogenetic diversity; Bioeconomy; Molecular traceability; Governance

Abstract

Phylogenetic studies are almost always used in conservation, but their potential as operational support for economic and governance deliberations is still underestimated. This paper proposes evolutionary relationships - represented by phylogenetic diversity - as a sustainable strategic lever for bioeconomy. We associate a documentary review and a prospective economic model, aiming to translate phylogenetic applications into relevant consequences for management and public policies. Examples are shown in agriculture (relative wild for breeding), public health (lineage tracking), biotechnology/bioprospecting (taxonomic groups of bioactive compounds) and food safety (molecular authentication), highlighting mechanisms for value aggregation and loss reduction. A proposal for the possibility of DNA traceability for arapaima (*Arapaima gigas*) in Brazil is presented, estimating ROI, benefit-cost ratio and payback based on simulated scenarios from secondary sources and market reports. The illustrative results indicate that molecular authentication can be useful to reduce fraud, amplify access to relevant markets, and sustain price with high expected returns on admissible assumptions. A scalable framework to convert phylogenetic information into planning, governance and investment instruments in the bioeconomy is presented, proposing priorities for empirical validation.

Introduction

Evolutionary kinship Research on conservation planning and history has been heavily influenced by evolutionary kinship which measures phylogenetic relationships among species [1-3]. The increasing threat of external ecological events has further increased the financial value of this framework, offering an opportunity to innovate it and develop it sustainably.

Phylogenetic diversity provides a practical approach to conservation biology: by distinguishing different lineages, it informs research, development, and the utilization of biological resources [2,4]. Several international agreements, including the Convention on Biological Diversity and its Nagoya Protocol, strengthened the value of genetic resources, encouraging fair methods of benefit-sharing and encouraging biodiversity as a site of innovation [5].

Evolutionary biology is known for its economic implications, but less is known about its applications. Phylogenetic techniques have gone from merely taxonomic analysis into decision-making tools in agriculture, healthcare, biotechnology and market traceability. The latter allows selection of wild relatives for crop enhancement [6], forecasting of viral transmission pathways [7], and identification of bioactive compounds according to taxonomic clusters [8].

How to cite this article: Fonseca CRVD, Paula EVMD (2026) From Phylogenetic Trees to Economic Strategies: Evolutionary Kinship for Sustainable Innovation. Shr J of Res & Sci 3.

This research explores how the notion of evolutionary kinship may assist in economic decisions through sectors through the amalgamation of literature and concrete case studies from the fields. A complete example of DNA-based traceability in the Brazilian pirarucu market also shows financial measures, which result in ROI, B/C, and payback period from predicted scenarios.

The objective of the article is to make phylogeny a strategic lever for innovation, economic growth and sustainability by applying evolutionary ideas to financial studies. It suggests methods to convert kinship into a predictive, scalable and moral instrument for economic planning. A closer look at applications beyond taxonomy, the book brings attention to phylogeny's coming up a new development which not only forms building blocks for innovation ecosystems but also a basis on which design of policy can be based on biodiversity.

Methodology

Our work uses qualitative research methods (quantitative, a mixed-method approach). The study project had two major phases:

Theory and documentary review

We review scientific papers and reports from bodies in order to identify applications of phylogenetic knowledge in agriculture, public health, biotechnology, biodiversity conservation, and food security. We aimed to illustrate how evolutionary ties became productive and strategic assets [1-3,8].

Creation of an exploratory economic model

To demonstrate the economic relevance of phylogenetic applications, we developed a case study for genetic tracking of arapaima (*Arapaima gigas*) in Brazil. The model was built from peer-reviewed articles, market reports and public fisheries data. We use standard economic evaluation metrics, including:

a) Return on Investment (ROI)
b) Cost-Benefit Ratio (B/C)
c) The Payback Period

These calculations were carried out using simulated scenarios based on estimated average costs of installing DNA barcode systems and costs of fraud losses, value of the products, improved competitiveness by exporting them [9,10].

Study limitations

a) Secondary Data Collection: Data were obtained from market related studies and information already available and not field surveys or audited financial records [11].

b) The exploratory nature of the simulations: the results are not certified financial projections, but models that present feasibility.

c) Not employing probabilistic testing: We do not apply more sophisticated methods (for example, sensitivity analysis, case selection, or Monte Carlo simulation to estimate the uncertainty).

d) Selecting sectors and a limited scope: Some application areas are also shown - but some innovations are not covered by phylogeny basis.

These limitations substantiate the theoretical and prospective nature of the review. In the future, empirical testing in the field and probabilistic modeling and during continuous monitoring in production chain should improve a strong contribution to reliability and the political importance of phylogenetic economic model [7,11].

Phylogeny Applied Practice to Agriculture or Genetic Enhancement

Genetic analysis is useful in agriculture by breeding different, more productive, resilient and ecologically adapted cultivars. Crossing breeding based on kinship of species or varieties can enable breeders to conduct cross breeding faster to adopt traits like disease resistance, drought tolerance, and good performance [12]. This will decrease the need for inputs of an artificial nature alongside the associated environmental and human costs of intensive agriculture.

Million-dollar producers like cassava (*Manihot esculenta*), a product of immense economic and social importance in the Amazon, are a good example. Phylogenetic analysis has found regional agronomic significance in the forms that contribute to genetic diversity and food security [13]. But these tools accelerate the breeding process and make indigenous farmers and farmers, those who keep tropical agro-biodiversity afloat, and local farmers and natives, more powerful. Increased availability of phylogenetic methodologies fosters participative innovation and grows rural agricultural economies in the countryside.

Phylogeny-based information can be used to identify wild distant relatives of crops that contain genes for resistance which have been lost during domestication [6]. This improvement improves climate adaptation and has a direct effect on yield rates as well as farm economies. Thus, agro-biodiversity, which is characterized by diversity, is a strategic tool for food sovereignty and market competition in sustainable settings, especially for mega-diverse countries like Brazil.

Incorporating phylogenetic information into rural development programs and policies (e.g., germplasm banks; climate-adapted farming incentives; territorial planning) improves the national capacity to innovate in food systems. Phylogenetic approaches maximize the conservation of germplasm by favouring a diversity of functionally and evolutionarily diverse lineages [14,15]. It is this diversity which supports climate stress resistant crops [16,17]. Incorporating evolutionary information in territorial development encourages the development process and results in diversified, sustainable agri-food chains, while also enhancing local innovation and food sovereignty [18,19].

In practical terms, phylogenetic findings also help to support agriculture decision making on crop selection, land use and localized farming. Germplasm banks based on differences can direct breeding toward under-researched but adaptable species and can provide a substitute for a marginalized niche. Likewise, in this way adding phylogenetic knowledge into territorial planning diversifies landscapes, lowers pest and disease risks and raises ecosystem services. By connecting local knowledge with scientific proof, grassroots innovation platforms can also be bolstered to include a wide range of rural development perspectives in the long run [18,19]. Finally, policy-linked phylogenetics allows a knowledge-based bioeconomy to thrive, with biodiversity and environmental policy in biodiversity rich regions that are economically fragile.

Bioprospecting in Amazon is also informed by functional phylogenetics. Many phylogenetically related species have common chemical profiles among them, enabling focused searches for antioxidants, insecticidal or antimicrobial agents [8,20]. This justifies study of biodiversity whilst improving drug research and green substitutes [21]. These knowledge transfer benefits are used within country chains to support the sustainable commercialisation of essential oils, nutraceuticals, and green cosmetics, and bring in capital with the support of the use in biodiversity [22,23].

For the identification of regional varieties with high agronomic value, which would encourage the conservation of genetic variability and food security [13].

This knowledge platform has the bonus of advancing breeding and supporting regional farmers and indigenous communities, which is essential in managing agro-biodiversity in tropical areas. Access to phylogenetic methods allows inclusive innovation and supports rural livelihoods.

Also, phylogenetic knowledge on kinship is an important tool for identification of related wild species of food crops, containing important resistance genes which were lost during the domestication steps [6]. Those genes give rise to plant resistance to climate change, which has implications for productivity and consequently, for regional and national agricultural economies.

Agro-biodiversity, particularly in megadiverse countries such as Brazil, has become increasingly strategic as a national product - vital not only to food sovereignty but also to international competitiveness in sustainable and diverse markets. Moreover, including phylogenetic information in rural development plans and in public agricultural policies, such as germplasm banks, climate-adapted farming incentives and territorial development policies in food systems, is a way to enhance national capability for innovation.

Integrating phylogenetic information in rural development programs and public agricultural policies offers a promising means of fostering food system innovation and resilience.

Phylogenetic techniques may provide an efficient means of maximizing germplasm bank utilization and genetic conservation via functionally and evolutionarily varied lineages' retention [14,15]. This genetic diversity is extremely useful for breeding programs aiming at making crops resistant to biotic and abiotic aspects, with a focus on climate change [16,17]. Further, the inclusion of evolutionary information in territorial development matrices may assist the promotion of more diverse and sustainable agri-food systems, thereby enhancing the capacity for regional innovation and food sovereignty [18,19]. This integration also enhances a wider context for agroecological intensification and grassroots crop improvement programs in rural communities.

In practice, phylogenetic data can facilitate decision-making process for public agriculture initiative on crops type, land utilization, and investment into food systems at the community level. For example, germplasm banks based on evolutionary distinctiveness may guide breeding programs around underrepresented species with very high adaptation potential for which there exists an alternative choice in marginal environments. Territorial development approaches that integrate phylogenetic knowledge can diversify productive landscapes, minimize vulnerability to pests and diseases, increase farm-level ecosystem services. Such applicability also furthers bottom-up innovation platforms by bridging localized knowledge with scientific evolutionary-based knowledge ecosystems, facilitating inclusive and sustainable rural development [18,19]. Finally, aligning phylogenetics with policy encourages the creation of a knowledge-based bioeconomy - not least in regions that are rich in biodiversity but economically impoverished.

In short, evolutionary kinship is not dry academic trivia. It’s a tool for constructing better, more resilient agriculture - and for reframing nature’s narrative as the anchor for future innovations. Scientists working in agronomy, plant science, and genetic conservation, therefore, are encouraged to locate possible connections between their phylogenetic data pool and national projects dealing with food security, sustainable agriculture, and bioeconomy-driven development.

In the Amazon, functional phylogenetics has been used as a predictive tool for species of plants with antioxidant, insecticidal, and antimicrobial activity that are commonly attributed to bioactive secondary metabolites. Similarly, sister species with homologous phylogenetic positions would likely have similar chemical characteristics, so phylogenetic screening is a strategic means of selecting likely candidates for bioprospecting [8,20]. In this way, the strategy enables more rational assessment of Amazonian biodiversity and the development of effective drug and green alternatives to synthetic chemicals [21].

Its use in regional production ecosystems is of vital socio-economic importance. Profitable usage of essential oils, nutraceuticals, and green cosmetics from phylogenetically guided species has a revenue and the sustainable use of biodiversity benefit of social and ecological development [22,23]. Such bioeconomic approaches, in turn, enable minimizing environmental degradation, while advancing inclusive development pathways based on scientific and indigenous knowledge and resources.

Application as Part of Public Health and Pest Control

Phylogenetics is central to watching and regulating pathogens, mainly in tropical areas of the world (e.g., Amazon) where species diversity is high. Monitoring changes in viral, bacterial and parasitic evolution helps us to learn more about their origins, pathways of dissemination, and evolutionary adaptation - all key for epidemiological surveillance [24].

Phylogenetic analyses have been performed during arbovirus disease outbreaks (e.g., dengue, zika, chikungunya) to describe viral lineages and identify mutations relevant to transmissibility and virulence [7]. This knowledge can be used for quicker health decisions, to control epidemics and reduce their economic impact.

From the perspective of pest management, knowledge of evolutionary associations between invasive species and native species helps to select among natural enemies for biological control and risk management. In northern Brazil, studies on the biology, host range and genetic structure of the star fruit fly (*Bactrocera carambolae*) have guided the selection of compatible parasitoid wasps. There have also been records of new hosts and the first sightings of parasitoids in Amapá [25,26], with phylogeographic analysis identifying *B. carambolae* genetic variability in South America [27]. The population growth in Roraima further calls for the need for control [28]. Polls for candidate bioagents [29] coincide with references to tephritid fruit

This case demonstrates that phylogenetic kinship is not simply descriptive, but predicts it improves ecological risk, maximizes effectiveness of interventions, and provides safer innovation channels. These localized applications, including pest control, consequently, bolster this text's main contention - that evolutionary relationships can be turned into economic and strategic instruments connecting biodiversity insights and sustainable development agendas.

This viewpoint agrees with the One Health approach, a combined understanding of human, animal and environmental health. Phylogenetic approaches are pivotal to tracking pathogen evolution and cross-species transmission to assist in outbreak control in areas overburdened with biodiversity that are susceptible to zoonotic spillover [31,32]. Re-representation of transmission networks provide insight into host–pathogen relationship(s) and the ecological origins of new diseases [33,34].

Phylogenetic profiling is also essential for an analysis of antimicrobial resistance (AMR) susceptibility, with the possibility of monitoring resistance genes throughout the microbial lineages and in different geographical areas. This predictive power enhances biosurveillance and planning, by determining high-risk sites and reservoirs for AMR where antibiotic use is aggressive [35,36]. Between them, these examples illustrate the centrality of evolutionary biology to proactive, knowledge relevant preventive health strategies for global health threats. This drives the need to develop training and institutional frameworks that embed phylogenetic reasoning into public health and agricultural planning to link data to practice.

Phylogeny is about more than just explaining, it is about learning how to keep nature in check. From controlling epidemics to protecting crops, evolutionary insights are more crucial than ever for health and agricultural policy. They call upon virologists, epidemiologists, pest managers and others to think about the translational scope of their studies for determining surveillance. The research on agriculture, aquaculture and pest control highlights that phylogenetic tools provide viable solutions: enhanced crop breeding [6,12], guiding the sustainable exploitation of wild relatives [15], and providing safer biological control [15,29]. In aquaculture, genetic traceability of pirarucu (*Arapaima gigas*) has increased the prevention of fraud and market governance, which increases competitiveness and consumer confidence [9]. Similarly, phylogenetically based bioprospecting of Amazonian oils and metabolites accelerates the identification of compounds of industrial and pharmaceutical interest [21,37,38].

Combined these cases demonstrate that phylogenetic insight, scaled up, also connects biodiversity to innovation systems that drive innovation, influencing policy, trade and development. Phylogeny is thus raised as a method not solely for solving urgent problems but as a resource to the formation of national bioeconomies [39-41] and to contributing to international blueprints including the Nagoya Protocol [42] and the UN Sustainable Development Goals [43].

Evolutionary Kinship-Based Biotechnology and Bioprospecting

Bioprospecting is the process of systematically examining genetic diversity resulting in bioactive compounds which have pharmaceutical, agricultural or industrial uses. Phylogeny has emerged as a central strategy in this endeavor since it allows for the identification of such promising species through ancestry with taxa already recognized for biological activity [44]. Mapping of relationships between cultivated and wild relatives may uncover pharmacological, nutritional or industrial-interest strains that can be integrated into breeding programs. This kind of approach applied to legumes, cucurbits and other agricultural families is successful [8].

Focusing on monophyletic groups of medicinal plants means that researchers can predict common metabolites. This reduces random screening and raises success rates [8]. This “functional phylogeny” method has guided Amazonian surveys, where many species remain scientifically unstudied. Similarly, extremophiles are explored in relation to that of lineages in which thermostable enzymes or antioxidant compounds exist [45], improving discovery as well as directing innovation investment. As high R&D costs plague SMEs, this type of efficiency is vital, with phylogenetic targeting democratizing innovation and reducing risks.

Functional phylogeny also brings to the surface indigenous Amazonian plants with antioxidant, insecticidal and antimicrobial action [37] which helps in developing pharmaceuticals and value chains in nutraceuticals, cosmetics and sustainable raw materials. Evolutionary guidance here adds up to the green economy and bioeconomy, with the valorization of biodiversity informing high value-added products in food, cosmetics, pharmaceuticals, and renewable energy markets [40,46]. These strategies foster innovation and conservation in biodiversity-rich countries by linking ecosystem service value to local industries. The UN Sustainable Development Goals, especially zero hunger, sustainable growth and responsible consumption, are also advanced by these technologies [43], it also fits closely with proposals for resilient and regenerative development [39].

For cosmetics, pharmaceuticals, and biofuels, phylogeny provides a framework for isolating clades with common biosynthetic pathways and permits accurate and cost-effective biodiversity studies [38,47]. So, natural legacy is remade into economic assets through connecting evolutionary understanding to product development in ecological and community settings. Indeed, the molecular bio-analyst and natural product engineer are now being provided with new avenues of application with this paradigm into biosynthetic pathways based on evolutionary relations [48].

But even though the use of phylogeny in bioprospecting is being extended, it also raises ethical and legal problems in the context of access to genetic resources and sharing of benefits established under the Nagoya Protocol. Phylogenetic documentation can clearly trace material heritage, underwrite transparent negotiations, and guarantee benefits to local communities and countries of origin [5]. The inclusion of molecular and phylogenetic traceability in certification programs - using DNA barcoding and blockchain - makes it even more open and auditable. Such instruments verify species origin, bar adulteration, and defend IP, particularly in biodiversity hotspots such as the Amazon [49,50].

For good-value products like Amazonian oils, functional foods and cosmetic extracts, traceability becomes an asset of competitiveness. Blockchain records facilitate transparent supply chains with elevation of geographic origin and traditional knowledge, sustainable, ethical sourcing [51,52]. In the Andes–Amazon region, community protocols increasingly use phylogenetic documentation to support claims of origin and traditional knowledge preservation, sustaining sovereignty over genetic resources and working towards partnerships between science and Indigenous knowledge systems [5,53].

Economics and Conservation of Biodiversity

Conservation of biodiversity underpins ecological protection and economic development in particular in areas with high potential for: ecotourism, ecosystem services, and bioprospecting. Phylogeny suggests that species and regions with higher evolutionary worth, i.e., special and irreplaceable lineages in the “trunk” of the tree of life, should be emphasized [2]. Phylogenetic analysis provides lineages that can influence conservation decisions, drawing attention to lineages, that should lose are those that would suffer disproportionate erosion [54].

While novel, the adaptation of phylogenetic information in ecological corridors and germplasm bank design has demonstrated some promise for enhancing the efficiency and representation of conservation efforts [2,55]. PD indices, for instance, have delineated priority areas where biodiversity and evolutionary history are preserved over multiple generations, in support of long-term ecosystem stability. Programs in the

Amazon and Atlantic Forest are just starting to take these principles to heart in the form of conservation unit setting up, connectivity projects and seed networks [56].

Species with few close relatives may perform unique ecological duties including pollination, nutrient recycling and climate control and are therefore crucial to ecosystem services and the economy. And being rare, they also add ecotourism value - endemic or phylogenetically distinct (or local) species, for instance the Amazon bellbird (*Procnias albus*), lures international tourists and promotes local economy [4]. Similarly, evolutionary distinctness (ED) filters out ex-situ strategies like seed banks and cryopreservation so that available resources are directed to species that would suffer loss of evolutionary diversity [4,14].

This model has become the basis for organizing efforts such as the EDGE of Existence initiative, which has employed ED and extinction risk to identify global priorities. It is also referenced in germplasm collections and ex-situ centers dedicated to rare or phylogenetically unique species [57,58]. In habitats in transition from ecosystems-impacted or climate-impacted, these strategies ensure investment in conservation is maximally focused on protecting the unique evolutionary legacy of species.

Incorporating PD and ED in land-use and territorial development planning serves a dual purpose for governments that allows concurrent targeting of ecological value along with socio-economic potential [59,60]. Applications include ecological corridors, agroforestry and multifunctional landscapes which contribute to biodiversity outcomes and human well-being [61,62]. These attitudes shift biodiversity as a development driver, not a limiting factor.

Phylogenetic data of the Red Lists, along with zoning, payments for ecosystem services and bioprospecting contracts also rely heavily on phylogenetic data. By pointing out evolutionary unique species, conservation action becomes more transparent and based on scientific evidence [63,64]. By combining evolutionary diversification in the arrangements which exchange benefits, the fairness and compliance among the players can be further promoted, thus increasing cooperation between government, researchers and indigenous groups [65,66].

In conclusion, phylogeny enhances scientific understanding and increases accuracy of conservation in resource-poor biodiversity hotspots. It turns classification into orientation for policy and investment, and maximizes ecological, evolutionary, and economic benefits [67,68]. It is by making visible such less visible aspects of biodiversity that phylogeny is the fulcrum of sustainable development, a challenge to ecologists, taxonomists, and policy makers alike to translate evolutionary history into strategy that respects nature and yet makes it serve human needs.

Food Safety and Fighting against Commercial Fraud

Phylogenetic tools are key in validating food products, particularly those of high price and that are vulnerable to fraud. DNA analyses compared with phylogenetic repositories support species substitutions detection in the context of fish, meat, herbs and natural products, thus safeguarding the interests of consumers and legal producers [69]. In Brazil, high replacement rates for premium fish have been documented, like pirarucu fillets that are adulterated with lower-value species. Molecular phylogeny - notably COI-based DNA barcoding - has been shown to be effective in preventing such fraud [9]. Such protocols are used currently by institutions such as the Center for Food Analysis (NAL/UFRJ) to detect pathogens and ensure authenticity [70].

In structured export markets such as the European Union, the presence of verification of species identity and genetics is legally compulsory. DNA barcoding and molecular traceability are among the tools that complement product assessment and control against international standards of food safety, authenticity and sustainable ingredient sourcing [50,71]. There may also be alignment with international treaties, such as the Nagoya Protocol on Access and Benefit-Sharing [42] (European Parliament and Council, 2018).

Already pilot projects in Brazil have applied QR-code systems based on phylogenetic databases for commodities like açaí, pirarucu and Amazonian oils. They enable immediate verification of identity and origin, increasing transparency and elevating the small producer's standing in regulated markets [10]. For artisanal and traditional food producers, those tools protect cultural heritage from mischaracterization and unfair industrial competition.

Embedding phylogenetic tools inside certification systems, whether geographic indications (GIs), origin seals, or blockchain platforms, increases product quality and consumer confidence. DNA barcoding and molecular traceability confirms the biological origin, and it ensures legal and market-based legitimacy of traditional goods [72,73]. This authentication reinforces geographical indications, which, as key levers for rural growth and biocultural heritage, contributes to rural development [74].

Institutionally, national or regional archives for verified phylogenetic sequences through national or regional registries would also enhance food governance through rapid fraud detection and information dissemination and sharing between regulatory organizations, academics, and industry. Whether honey, cocoa, or wild species of fish, determining evolutionary origin is at the heart of twenty-first-century food quality - bringing molecular research into alignment with concerns we have about product value, consumer confidence and commercial transparency.

Future Perspectives and Emerging Approaches

Through the phylogenetic tree, our knowledge from genetics can be used as the basis for action. We may also benefit from it in four other sectors: innovation, conservation, biotechnology, and agriculture. We are making more informed choices about biology and public policies by understanding how relationships between individual species and to whom they belong are at best informative to a greater extent. Such insights can even guide government agencies to take decision(s) that are accurate, economically efficient, and sustainable.

Emerging Frontiers in Phylogeny-Based Innovation

A new area of Phylogeny-Focused Innovation is Emerging. AI (artificial intelligence) and machine learning tech are a step in development that makes the application of phylogeny to more varied environments. It's making this easier. With these, it can be done automatically to analyze large evolutionary data sets, such as predicting gene activities, biochemical features, and potential industrial applications [75,76].

This integration also allows for potentially real-time action in pest management, genetic resource tracking, and predictive agriculture, including where connected to remote sensing and precision-farm technologies. The broader application of phylogeny in commercial contexts is suggestive of issues around equitable distribution of benefits from the exploitation of genetic resources, as provided for by the Nagoya Protocol. Phylogenetic documentation may help support transparency and equity during this process and be a tool for this use [5].

Tackling these issues to address in public discourse, education, and policy formation is critical to making the Phylogenetic based innovation more socially just and respectful of native knowledge structures.

Phylogeny and the circular economy

Phylogeny also offers great opportunities to promote the circular economy by helping with the choice of microorganisms to generate value-added products through the biotransformation of organic waste. Species closely related to lineages known for their complex degradation capabilities can be targeted to produce bioplastics, organic acids, or biogas from agro-industrial by-products (Singh et al., 2020) [77]. This phylogenetic method allows the screening process to be more efficient in determining microbial candidates by evolutionary proximity to functionally tested strains.

In addition, it improves the general transition from linear extraction and disposal scenarios to more regenerative processes such as regenerative methods where biodiversity is pivotal as it is at the core of material reuse, redesign, and reinvention. By situating evolutionary biology at the underpinning of bioindustrial invention, phylogeny is a means of both environmental sustainability and the emergence of innovative markets in the bioeconomy.

Digital traceability and blockchain

Apart from a requirement for minimum certification, the convergence of molecular phylogeny and digital technologies in the form of blockchain, QR codes, and smartphone programs opens new avenues for governance in value chains that depend on biodiversity. With it, real-time genetic identity tracking becomes available, regulatory compliance and fraud tracing are automated, improving product flows' reliability and cross-border accountability [10]. So instead of simply noting where an object came from, our phylogenetic data are transformed into living tools of bioeconomic risk management and traceable, transparent production mechanisms.

Moreover, these platforms help promote participatory mechanisms in which consumers, producers, and regulators access and incorporate authenticated genetic information. Thus, phylogenetic traceability emerges as a domain of market knowledge that strengthens branding, informs purchase patterns, and triggers locally driven innovation systems from biodiversity assets.

Marine potential

While this article focused mainly on terrestrial biodiversity, its application in marine organisms represents a tantalizing and highly hopeful pursuit - in revealing bioactive compounds from marine sponges, corals, algae, and marine microorganisms with prospects of pharmaceutical, cosmetic, and nutraceutical applications [78,79]. Phylogenetic investigations in marine organisms facilitate the identification of taxonomic groups with convergent biosynthetic potential and thus are more focused and productive for bioprospecting in the vast, relatively unknown, marine environments.

Aside from compound discovery in marine phylogenetics, this involves evolutionary adaptation to extreme conditions such as deep-sea vents, deep-sea trenches, and hypersaline lagoons. Its consequences allow for biomimetic materials and new enzymes for industrial and medical use [80,81]. In addition, phylogenetic approaches are being applied more to marine conservation planning and high seas area-based governance, aligned with international blue economy policy and sustainable use of ocean biodiversity.

Interdisciplinary education and training

It depends on constructing human capital that can operate interdisciplinarily - across biology, economics, digital technologies, and laws. Multidisciplinary training programs, especially in areas such as biotechnology, environmental law, and bioeconomy, require interdisciplinary training to develop a knowledgeable and reactive workforce [82,83]. These professionals must have the resources to convert this evolutionary information into value with conservation, innovation, and governance knowledge.

Enhancing such capacities requires ongoing investment in curricula, public-private training partnerships, and community-based instructional strategies that connect communities with biodiverse environments. Introduction of indigenous ecological knowledge and the development of youth leaders within communities and increased equity in access to knowledge opportunities are seen as necessary conditions for mainstream social acceptability and success in sustainability of biodiversity-driven development programs [84].

Economic modeling metrics and impacts

And none of the applications shown above carry quantitative markers indicating what true economic value there is in phylogeny. Evidence from cost-benefit analysis models, ROI on phylogenetic prospecting investment, guided versus randomized methods comparisons, etc., further enhance arguments and help investors and policymakers [11].

The creation of internationally accepted criteria for evaluating phylogenetic applications would also enhance international collaboration, fund availability, and the incorporation of biodiversity metrics into national-level accounting systems.

Case Study: Return on Investment in Phylogenetic Traceability for Pirarucu

A good illustration of economic modeling of a phylogenetic tool was an effort to prevent commercial fraud in the pirarucu (*Arapaima gigas*) market of Brazil. Pirarucu, as a valuable fish from the Amazon, always experiences substitution of its species, which is harmful to consumer confidence, as well as to the legitimate income of producers.

A pilot molecular traceability system - involving DNA barcoding to verify species identity—has been put forth and tested on a limited scale in supply chains within Brazil. Presented below is a rough cost-benefit analysis based on reasonable estimates culled from secondary literature and publicly traded fisheries marketplace information. The figures are meant to serve only to give an idea of their possible cost and profit benefits from installing a phylogenetic authentication system.

a) Estimated Annual Investments

i. DNA barcoding sets, lab reagents, and technical staff: BRL 500,000
ii. Training and collaborative implementation: BRL 200,000
iii. Total investment: BRL 700,000

b) Estimated Annual Economic Benefits

i. Fraud loss minimization (15% of a BRL 30 million market): BRL 4,500,000
ii. Augmented export competition in certified markets: BRL 1,000,000
iii. Price paid for traceable and genuine product: BRL 500,000
iv. Total estimated benefits: BRL 6,000,000

c) Economic Indicators Based on Estimated Values

Return on Investment (ROI):
ROI = (((Total Benefits − Total Investment) ÷ Total Investment) × 100)
ROI = ((6,000,000 − 700,000) ÷ 700,000) × 100 ≈ 757%

Benefit-Cost Ratio (B/C):
B/C = Total Benefits ÷ Total Investment
B/C = 6,000,000 ÷ 700,000 ≈ 8.57

Payback Period:
Payback = (Total Investment ÷ Total Benefits) × 12 months
Payback = (700,000 ÷ 6,000,000) × 12 ≈ 1.4 months

d) Interpretation and Limitation

We shall emphasize that these values are simply the theoretical extrapolations of previous empirical works and generic market developments [9,10]. The economic figures presented are exploratory simulations, not empirical estimates audited. They work to illustrate the theory of feasibility and potential benefits from phylogeny-based traceability tools, not offer formal financial appraisals. The field or the financial survey was never formally audited. Therefore, such numbers should be implemented as simulated virtual scenarios to demonstrate the economic validation of phylogenetic traceability in biodiversity supply chains.

e) **Recommendations for Future Validation and Methodological Enhancement**

To make the model more complex for future research, it is recommended that:

i. Sensitivity analysis, scenario testing (e.g., 5% fraud rates, 10% fraud rates, 20% fraud rates; implementation cost changes).

ii. Collection of empirical evidence from certified producers, comparing sales performance before and after adoption of traceability.

iii. Utilization of probabilistic methods of estimating variance, confidence intervals and Monte Carlo simulations for uncertainty.

iv. Formulation of dynamic models that include seasonality, incremental adoption by supply chain actors, and foreign exchange volatility in export markets.

f) Implications and Next Steps

Theoretical construct-wise, this preliminary model could serve as an important platform for public policy and also for technology feasibility studies and for investment strategy for bioeconomies. It demonstrates that phylogenetic technologies have potential not only to improve transparency and value but also to be strategic allies for sustainable development and just economies in markets based on biodiversity.

Concrete instances of phylogenetic practice

To elicit tangible economic value from the use of phylogenetic approaches, a sample of cases from agriculture, medicine, biotechnology, and food safety is provided below. Table 1 provides an overview of selected case studies whereby phylogenetic expertise yielded measurable financial value, demonstrating its intersectoral economic significance. They indicate how knowledge of evolution has yielded measurable financial value or cost reductions.

These numbers emphasize the priority of further research for phylogenetics for policy and industrial application. Linking biodiversity-mediated innovation to economic gains is conducive to interpreting phylogeny as a strategic as opposed to a scientific asset.

Table 1: Real Economic Impacts of Phylogenetic Applications in Different Sectors (values in USD; 1 USD = 5 BRL, 2024 average).

Economic Sector	Phylogenetic Application	Case Study	Estimated Economic Value (USD)	Type of Economic Impact
Agriculture	Genetic improvement via wild relatives	Use of wild species of peanuts in the USA for drought and disease resistance [6]	USD 201 million/year	Avoided losses (crop failure)
Public Health	Viral lineage and epidemic tracking	Genomic surveillance during the Zika epidemic in Brazil (2015–2016) [7]	USD 400 million	Avoided costs (health and productivity)
Bioprospecting	Selection of taxa based on monophyletic groups	Discovery of vinca alkaloids in Apocynaceae [8]	USD 1+ billion/year	Added value (pharmaceutical market)
Food Safety / Fraud	DNA barcoding and phylogenetic authentication	Fraud reduction in the pirarucu trade in Brazil [9]	USD 900,000/year	Fraud prevention (traceability)

Agriculture and Genetic Improvement

In America, drought- and disease-resistant genes derived from the wild relatives of domestic peanuts (*Arachis hypogaea*) were bred through this phylogenetic approach. This did mitigate annual crop losses of USD 201 million as improved varieties adapted to varying climate variations occurred [6].

Public Health and Epidemiology

Phylogenetic surveillance in Brazil during the Zika epidemic (2015–2016) helped us identify the viral lineage and estimate its spread by region. These findings provided early help for emergency health initiatives likely averting costs over BRL 2 billion worth of medical care, vector control, and productivity loss [7,85].

Bioprospecting and Pharmaceutical Innovation

This was also important, as the identification of monophyletic groups in medicinal plant families (e.g., Apocynaceae) led to the identification of compounds of interest, such as vincristine and vinblastine, which are drugs that treat cancer. Phylogenetic guidance on the selection of associated taxa has resulted in more than USD 1 billion worth of pharmaceutical revenue each year, showing the predictive power of the evolutionary lineages in drug discovery [8].

Food Authentication and Fraud Prevention

In Brazilian markets, DNA sequencing detected fraudulent substitution in high value pirarucu fillets, an iconic fish from the Amazon. Molecular phylogenetic methods led to a 15% reduction in food fraud losses, enhanced consumer transparency, and deepened artisanal producers' presence in regulated markets [9,10].

Policy Implications and Practice Perspective

The use of phylogenetic information for planning economic growth and innovation policy is one that many researchers view as a neglected avenue for the promotion of sustainable development, strengthening the governance of genetic resources, and reducing the inefficiencies of productive sectors. As this review has shown, phylogeny-based approaches can reinforce traceability, help to guide investment into biotechnology and conservation, and help to inspire the value of biodiversity-based products and services.

Strategic Recommendations for Policy Makers

Embed phylogenetic indicators in biodiversity governance arrangements. The Convention on Biological Diversity and the Nagoya Protocol are particularly focused on genetic resources and benefit-sharing [5]. Phylogenetic information can improve the evidential basis of access and benefit-sharing regimes, and also encourage fair partnerships with traditional knowledge holders [53].

Support research and innovation institutions that cross the bridge between evolutionary biology, economics, law and information technology. The IPBES global assessment places importance on integrative knowledge for the purpose of policy development for biodiversity [86] with OECD [41] citing biodiversity genomics and bioeconomy as strategic investment focuses post pandemic.

Develop financial and regulatory support for private companies and cooperatives that adopt DNA-based traceability, phylogeny-enhanced crop advancement, or biodiversity-based product development. Economic incentives can facilitate inclusive innovation and promote local economies' alignment with international sustainability goals [41].

Encourage the development of digital infrastructure and public access to molecular databases, particularly those for phylogenetic sequence-based and blockchain-enabled traceability technologies [10]. And they are key to fraud prevention, intellectual property protection and faith in consumer confidence.

Embed evolutionary distinctiveness in land-use policy and conservation planning so that area and taxon prioritization of areas and taxon in relation to irreplaceability and functional diversity can be implemented in a cost-effective manner [2,55]. This facilitates the conservation of ecosystem services and rural development measures in biodiversity hotspots.

Incorporate phylogenetic indicators in national accounting frameworks for ecosystem service evaluation and R&D valuation. Standardized economic indicators, as suggested by, e.g., Padilla-García et al. [11], must create an economic value for biodiversity-driven innovation and defend public or philanthropic investment.

Facilitate participatory benefit sharing mechanisms that enable Indigenous peoples, traditional communities and local producers to derive benefits from phylogenetic technologies, especially via digital certificates, geographical indications, and product authentication systems [5,10].

These initiatives align with Sustainable Development Goals 9 (industry, innovation and infrastructure), 12 (sustainable consumption and production) and 15 (life on land) thereby further bolstering biodiversity's role in long-term resilience and inclusive prosperity.

Positioning phylogeny as a development strategy means that governments can shift from the traditional perspective of conservation to more proactive models of bioeconomic innovation, which is highly likely to be true in megadiverse nations, such as Brazil, Indonesia and the Democratic Republic of Congo.

Phylogenetic tools transformed into technologies of sovereignty, justice and sustainability in the knowledge-based economy of the future when incorporated into development policy.

Conclusion

The evolutionary trend that phylogenetic investigation has gone through has shifted from being traditionally a field of systematics and taxonomy to a domain of strategic innovation, sustainability and governance, the article argues. Phylogeny can expedite processes in agriculture, biotechnology, conservation and public health by exposing evolutionary connections among organisms.

In areas of strong biodiversity, particularly in the Global South, phylogenetic data improves genetic assessment, incentivizes domestic economies, and strengthens national management of bioheritage. By embedding such scientific insights into governance arrangements, countries may be able to strike a balance between biodiversity stewardship, social equity, and sustainable development objectives.

There are five lessons at the strategic level by way of this debate:

a) Knowledge of phylogenetics encourages management of resources by innovation and selective conservation.

b) This allows for the integration into economic systems with traceability, certification, and bioprospecting as part of commercial and regulatory value.

c) Phylogenetic data supports development programs and public policy in the context of future territorial planning and sustainability.

d) Education and training will help create the labor pool in biology, law, and economics.

e) Information technology such as DNA barcoding and blockchain, when combined with phylogeny, facilitates openness and competitiveness.

To the extent that phylogeny is considered more than a descriptive scientific science rather, it has replaced it, as an important paradigm for creating a bioeconomy based on science and ecology, and built on equity.

References

1. Avise JC (2004) Molecular markers, natural history, and evolution. Sunderland: Sinauer Associates.

2. Faith DP (1992) Conservation evaluation and phylogenetic diversity. Biological Conservation 61(1): 1-10.

3. Mace GM, Gittleman JL, Purvis A (2003) Preserving the tree of life. Science 300(5626): 1707-1709.

4. Isaac NJB, Turvey ST, Collen B, Waterman C, Baillie JEM (2007) Mammals on the EDGE: Conservation priorities based on threat and phylogeny. PLoS ONE 2(3): e296.

5. Morgera E, Buck M, Tscherny E (2014) The 2010 Nagoya Protocol on Access and Benefit-sharing in Perspective: Implications for Biodiversity and Sustainable Development. In: Leiden: Brill.

6. Hajjar R, Hodgkin T (2007) The use of wild relatives in crop improvement: a survey of developments over the last 20 years. Euphytica 156(1): 1-13.

7. Faria NR, Azevedo RDSDS, Kraemer MUG, Souza R, Cunha MS, et al. (2016) Zika virus in the Americas: Early epidemiological and genetic findings. Science 352(6283): 345-349.

8. Lagoudakis SCH, Savolainen V, Williamson EM, Forest F, Wagstaff SJ, et al. (2011) Phylogenies reveal predictive power of traditional medicine in bioprospecting. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences (PNAS) 108(31): 12943-12948.

9. Hashimoto DT (2011) DNA barcoding reveals the mislabeling of fish in a Brazilian market. Mitochondrial DNA 22(supl. 1): 97-105.

10. Lucena AF (2022) Blockchain and biodiversity: an approach to genomic traceability. Brazilian Journal of Technological Innovation 11(1): 34-50.

11. García PJL (2021) A framework for assessing economic returns of biodiversity-based R&D. Ecological Economics 184:106995.

12. Gepts P (2006) Plant genetic resources conservation and utilization: the accomplishments and future of a societal insurance policy. Crop Science 46(5): 2278-2292.

13. Bredeson JV, Lyons JB, Prochnik SE, Wu GA, Ha CM, et al. (2016) Sequencing wild and cultivated cassava and related species reveals extensive interspecific hybridization and genetic diversity. Nature Biotechnology 34(5): 562-570.

14. Faith DP (2008) Phylogenetic diversity and conservation. Biological Conservation 144(10): 2674-2684.

15. Álvarez CNP, Khoury CK, Achicanoy HA, Bernau V, Dempewolf H, et al. (2016) Global conservation priorities for crop wild relatives. Nature Plants 2: 16022.

16. Khoury CK, Bjorkman AD, Dempewolf H, Villegas JR, Guarino L, et al. (2014) Increasing homogeneity in global food supplies and the implications for food security. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America (PNAS) 111(11): 4001-4006.

17. Leino MW, Hagenblad J (2010) African rice as a model for climate-resilient crops. Biotropica 42(2): 183-192.

18. Zimmerer KS (2019) Agrobiodiversity and a sustainable food future. Nature Plants 5: 115-120.

19. Jarvis DI (2011) Managing crop genetic diversity on-farm: the contribution of participatory plant breeding. Biological Conservation 144(12): 2544-2557.

20. Yessoufou K (2015) Integrating bioprospecting in biodiversity conservation: The role of functional and phylogenetic diversity. Ecological Applications 25(3): 744-757.

21. Veiga Junior VF, Pinto AC (2005) Biodiversity: a source of pharmaceuticals and medicines. Química Nova 28(3): 519-528.

22. Homma A (2012) Plant extraction in the Amazon: limits and opportunities. Studies Society and Agriculture 20(1): 85-113.

23. Prudhomme VRWF (2019) Amazonian bioeconomy: pathways for inclusive and sustainable development. Genebra: UNCTAD. (UNCTAD Discussion Paper Series).

24. Holmes EC (2009) The evolution and emergence of RNA viruses. In: Oxford: Oxford University Press.

25. Costa JVTA, Sousa MSM, Jesus CR, Filho MFS, Costa VA, et al. (2023) New findings on Carambola fruit fly hosts in South America. Florida Entomologist 106(3): 365-374.

26. Costa JVTA, Sousa MSM, Filho MFS, Murbach CG, Oliveria JPM, et al. (2025) Carambola fruit fly in Brazil: new host and first record of associated parasitoids. Fragmenta Entomologica. 108(1).

27. Deus DE, Passos J, Muller AVS, Jesus, C, Silva JG, et al. (2024) Genetic diversity and phylogeography of Bactrocera carambolae in South America. Insects 15(12): 949.

28. Soares GKA, Fidelis EG, Farias ES, Rodrigues GS, Paes JLA (2023) Range expansion and population dynamics of Bactrocera carambolae in Roraima, Brazil. Crop Protection 164: 105414.

29. Paranhos BAG, Costa VA, Shimbori EM, Otsuka MYF, Carvalho JVA, et al. (2025) Prospection of potential bioagents against Bactrocera carambolae if it is detected in the São Francisco River Valley, Brazil. Revista Brasileira de Entomologia 69(3): 1-10.

30. García FRM, Ovruski SM, Suárez L, Cancino J, Liburd OE (2020) Biological control of tephritid fruit flies in the Americas and Hawaii: A review of parasitoids and predators. Insects 11(10): 662.

31. Gebreyes WA, Camet JD, Newport MJ, Oliveria CJB, Schlesinger LS, et al. (2014) The global One Health paradigm: challenges and opportunities for tackling infectious diseases at the human, animal, and environment interface in low-resource settings. PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases 8(11): e3257.

32. Woolhouse ME, Sequeria GS (2005) Host range and emerging and reemerging pathogens. Emerging Infectious Diseases 11(12): 1842-1847.

33. Grange ZL, Goldstein T, Johnson CK, Anthony C, Gilardi K, et al. (2021) Ranking the risk of animal-to-human spillover for newly discovered viruses. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences (PNAS) 118(15): e2002324118.

34. Morse SS, Mazet JAK, Woolhouse M, Parrish CR, Carroll D, et al. (2012) Prediction and prevention of the next pandemic zoonosis. The Lancet 380(9857): 1956-1965.

35. Van Boeckel TP, Brower C, Gilbert M, Grenfell BT, Levin SA, et al. (2015) Global trends in antimicrobial use in food animals. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences (PNAS) 112(18): 5649-5654.

36. Munita JM, Arias CA (2016) Mechanisms of antibiotic resistance. Microbiology Spectrum 4(2): 481-511.

37. Leal MC, Madeira C, Brandao CA, Puga J, Calado R, et al. (2016) Bioprospecting of marine invertebrates for new natural products – A chemical and zoogeographical perspective. Molecules 21(7): 965.

38. Wink M (2003) Evolution of secondary metabolites from an ecological and molecular phylogenetic perspective. Phytochemistry 64(1): 3-19.

39. EL-Chichakli B, Barun JV, Lang C, Barben D, Philip J, et al. (2016) Five cornerstones of a global bioeconomy. Nature 535: 221-223.

40. FAO – Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (2021) Sustainable Bioeconomy Guidelines: Contributing to the Agenda 2030. Rome: FAO.

41. OECD – Organisation for Economic Co-Operation and Development (2021) The Bioeconomy in the Post-COVID-19 Era: Resilient, Sustainable, and Inclusive. Paris: OECD.

42. CBD – Convention on Biological Diversity (2010) Nagoya Protocol on Access to Genetic Resources and the Fair and Equitable Sharing of Benefits Arising from their Utilization to the Convention on Biological Diversity. Montreal: CBD Secretariat.

43. United Nations (2015) Transforming our world: the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development. New York: UN.

44. Rosenberg MS (2006) The role of phylogenetics in the search for bioactive natural products. Journal of Natural Products 69(3): 509-518.

45. Vieille C, Zeikus GJ (2001) Hyperthermophilic enzymes: sources, uses, and molecular mechanisms for thermostability. Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews 65(1): 1-43.

46. OECD – Organisation for Economic Co-Operation and Development (2009) The Bioeconomy to 2030: Designing a Policy Agenda. Paris: OECD Publishing.

47. Rønsted N (2012) Phylogenetic approaches in natural product research. Natural Product Reports 29(11): 1225-1233.

48. Cragg GM, Newman DJ (2013) Natural products: A continuing source of novel drug leads. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) – General Subjects 1830(6): 3670-3695.

49. Hollingsworth PM (2011) A DNA barcode for land plants. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences (PNAS) 108(31): 12794-12797.

50. Newmaster SG, Grguric M, Shanmughanandhan D, Ramalingam S, Ragupathy S (2013) DNA barcoding detects contamination and substitution in North American herbal products. BMC Medicine 11: 222.

51. Galvez JF (2018) Blockchain for traceability in food: A review of the literature. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture 163: 104895.

52. Fiorini L, Manzini R (2022) Blockchain and the bioeconomy: Opportunities for traceability and sustainable development. Journal of Cleaner Production 339:130708.

53. Pádua JG, Albuquerque LG, Mello MF (2020) Genetic conservation of native Brazilian species: germplasm banks and seed networks. Brazilian Journal of Genetic Resources 21(4): 725-740.

54. Mazel F, Pennel MW, Cadotte MW, Diaz S, Riva GVD, et al. (2018) Prioritizing phylogenetic diversity captures functional diversity unreliably. Nature Communications 9(1): 1-11.

55. Rodrigues ASL (2005) Integrating phylogenetic diversity in the selection of priority areas for conservation: does it make a difference? In: Purvis A, Gittleman JL, Brooks TM. (ed.). Phylogeny and conservation. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press pp. 101-119.

56. Pádua JG, Albuquerque MSM, Mello SCM (org.) (2020) Embrapa's germplasm banks and collections: conservation and use. Brasília, DF: Embrapa Genetic Resources and Biotechnology. (Documents / Embrapa Genetic Resources and Biotechnology, 371), p. 167.

57. Cadotte MW (2010) Phylogenetic diversity metrics for conservation, community ecology and macroecology. Biological Reviews 85(2): 243-266.

58. Crisp MD, Cook LG (2012) Phylogenetic niche conservatism: what are the underlying evolutionary and ecological causes? New Phytologist 196(3): 681-694.

59. Faith DP, Richards ZT (2012) Evolutionary diversity and ecosystem services: the problem of scale. Ecology and Society 17(3): 23.

60. Margules CR, Pressey RL (2000) Systematic conservation planning. Nature 405: 243-253.

61. Naidoo R, Balmford A, Ferraro PJ, Polasky S, Ricketts TH, et al. (2006) Integrating economic costs into conservation planning. Nature 439: 629-632.

62. Mace GM, Norris K, Fitter AH (2012) Biodiversity and ecosystem services: A multilayered relationship. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 27(1): 19-26.

63. Rodrigues ASL, Grenyer R, Baillie JEM, Emonds ORPB, Gittlemann JL, et al. (2011) Complete, accurate, mammalian phylogenies aid conservation planning, but not much. Nature 466: 603-607.

64. Forest F, Grenyer R, Rouget M, Davies TJ, Cowling RM, et al. (2007) Preserving the evolutionary potential of floras in biodiversity hotspots. Nature 445: 757-760.

65. Pollock LJ, Rosauer DF, Thomhill AH, Kujala H, Crisp MD, et al. (2015) Phylogenetic diversity meets conservation policy: small areas are key to preserving eucalypt lineages. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 370: 20140007.

66. Faith DP (2008) Threatened species and the potential loss of phylogenetic diversity: conservation scenarios based on estimated extinction probabilities and phylogenetic risk analysis. Conservation Biology 22(6): 1461-1470.

67. Vane Wright RI, Humphries CJ, Williams PH (1991) What to protect? - Systematics and the agony of choice. Biological Conservation 55(3): 235-254.

68. Mooers AO, Faith DP, Maddison WP (2008) Converting endangered species categories to probabilities of extinction for phylogenetic conservation prioritization. PLoS ONE 3(11): e3700.

69.Marko PB, Lee SC, Rice AM, Gramling JM, Fitzhenry TM, et al. (2004) Mislabeling of a depleted reef fish. Nature 430(6997): 309-310.

70. Universidade Federal Do Rio De Janeiro (2025) Food Analysis Center.

71. Paracchini V (2021) DNA barcoding for food safety and authenticity. Trends in Food Science & Technology 116: 659-672.

72. Ramírez BJ (2021) DNA barcoding as a traceability tool in geographical indication products. Food Control 125: 107978.

73. Murray A, O'Connor D (2020) Blockchain and the biodiversity economy: Enhancing traceability and consumer trust. Journal of Environmental Management 276: 111283.

74. Rangnekar D (2004) The socio-economics of geographical indications: A review of empirical evidence. Geneva: UNCTAD–ICTSD Project on IPRs and Sustainable Development.

75. Camacho C, Coulouris G, Avagyan V, Ma N, Papadopoulus J, et al. (2009) BLAST+: architecture and applications. BMC Bioinformatics 10: 421.

76. Wainwright PC (2021) Phylogenetic comparative methods and the integration of data across scales. Integrative and Comparative Biology 61(3): 757-767.

77. Zeller MA (2013) Production of polyhydroxyalkanoates from waste materials and by-products by bacteria: A review. Bioresource Technology 130: 1-9.

78. Leal MC (2016) Marine biodiversity and sustainable development. Marine Policy 74: 263-271.

79. Carroll AR (2020) Marine natural products in clinical and preclinical development. Natural Product Reports 37(2): 175-223.

80. Ramette A (2007) Multivariate analyses in microbial ecology. FEMS Microbiology Ecology 62(2): 142-160.

81. Arrieta JM (2010) Deep-sea ecosystem functioning and resilience: what we know and what we need to know. Biogeosciences 7(9): 2851-2869.

82. Dias TLP (2023) Training interdisciplinary professionals for the biodiversity economy: challenges and opportunities. Journal of Environmental Studies 45(2): 122-136.

83. OECD – Organisation for Economic Co-Operation and Development (2019) T-shaped skills for a new economy: A framework for education innovation. Paris: OECD Publishing.

84. UNESCO – United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (2022) Education for Sustainable Development: A Roadmap. Paris: UNESCO.

85. Datasus – Ministry of Health. Department of Informatics of SUS.

86. IPBES – Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services (2019) Global assessment report on biodiversity and ecosystem services. Bonn: IPBES Secretariat.