Review Article

Beyond Legalism: Rudolf Steiner's Esoteric Reinterpretation of Paul's Doctrine of Law, Justification, and the Evolution of Consciousness

Nicos Kaloyirou*
LL.B. LL.M. barrister and solicitor, Adelaide, South Australia

Abstract

This article explores Rudolf Steiner's (1861–1925) esoteric interpretation of the Apostle Paul's doctrines of law and justification, primarily as articulated in the Epistles to the Romans and Galatians, through the lens of an interdisciplinary framework drawing upon psychology, philosophy, theology, and the history of ideas. As the foundational figure of Anthroposophy, Steiner offers a unique reading that bridges Western theology with esoteric, non-Western concepts of reincarnation and karma. Unlike traditional Reformation perspectives that foreground the antithesis between works of the law and faith, Steiner interprets Paul's transition from "Law" to "Grace" as a crucial evolutionary stage in the development of human consciousness. Steiner posits that Paul experienced the Christ-impulse as a living, supersensible reality capable of transforming the "lower," karmically-bound self—shaped by the structures of the old law—into a "higher" self capable of acting from a foundation of inner freedom. By examining Steiner's lectures on Paul, principally GA 68a, GA 131, GA 142, and GA 198, this paper argues that Steiner transforms Paul from a legalistic theologian into a proponent of spiritual freedom consonant with his own Philosophy of Freedom—a reading that resonates with contemporary psychological and philosophical searches for meaning beyond rigid religious structures. The article also situates Steiner's approach within a global philosophical context, comparing it with Buddhist perspectives on karma and liberation, and draws connections with the mystical traditions of Meister Eckhart and Hildegard of Bingen. The paper further engages with Žižek's Hegelian reading of divine kenosis as a structural parallel and philosophical complement to Steiner's account of the Christ-impulse. The paper concludes by reflecting on the enduring significance of Steiner's esoteric Paul for modern individualistic seekers.

Introduction: The Cognitive Rebirth of Saul

Rudolf Steiner's anthroposophical project seeks to bridge the chasm between the empirical rigidity of modern science and the mystical depths of religious experience. For Steiner, the Apostle Paul is not merely a theological architect of early Christianity, but the first "spiritual scientist" of the Christian era—a figure whose conversion on the Damascus road constitutes a prototype for a fundamentally new mode of human cognition [1]. Steiner argues that Paul's transition from Saul—the zealous guardian of the Mosaic Law—to Paul, the herald of the Christ-principle, represents a fundamental and irreversible shift in the evolutionary trajectory of human consciousness. This transformation, as Steiner reads it, is not primarily a matter of personal religious biography but a world-historical event marking the passage of humanity from an era of collective, law-governed spirituality to one of individual, freedom-based spiritual agency.

This paper examines Steiner's esoteric reinterpretation of Paul's doctrine of law and justification as articulated principally in the Epistles to the Romans and Galatians. It does so through an interdisciplinary lens, drawing upon the history of ideas, depth psychology, comparative religion, and philosophy of mind. The central research question is: how did

SHRINE PUBLISHERS
Crafting your Achievement

Advance Research in Psychology and Behavioural Sciences (ARPBS)

ISSN: 3069-2059

Volume 3 Issue 2, 2026

Article Information
Received date: February 26, 2026
Published date: March 16, 2026

***Corresponding author**
Nicos Kaloyirou, LL.B. LL.M. barrister and solicitor, Adelaide, South Australia

DOI: 10.65070/ARPBS.2026.229

Keywords
Rudolf Steiner; Apostle Paul; Justification; Law and Grace; Anthroposophy; Evolution of Consciousness; Christ-Impulse; Karma; Philosophy of Freedom; Kenosis; Slavoj Žižek; Hegel

Steiner interpret Paul's doctrine of Law and Justification, and what implications does this interpretation carry for a contemporary global philosophy of religion? The thesis advanced here is that Steiner interprets Paul not in a legalistic or purely theological sense, but as a spiritual initiate who recognised the Christ-impulse as the turning point from external, karmic, and legal binding to internal, conscious freedom and spiritual development. In so doing, Steiner constructs a philosophical anthropology of salvation that is simultaneously Christocentric and universalist, resonating with Eastern wisdom traditions while remaining distinctively Western in its emphasis on individual selfhood.

The interdisciplinary significance of this inquiry extends beyond the history of esotericism. Steiner's reading of Paul anticipates and, in certain respects, parallels developments in twentieth-century depth psychology, particularly the work of Carl Gustav Jung on the process of individuation and the psychological dimensions of religious transformation [2]. It also intersects with contemporary phenomenological and hermeneutic approaches to mystical experience, as well as with comparative studies of law, conscience, and moral freedom in Western and Eastern philosophical traditions. In this sense, Steiner's Paul offers a resource not only for students of Anthroposophy, but for any interdisciplinary investigation into the nature of consciousness transformation, spiritual freedom, and the evolution of the human self.

It is worth situating Steiner himself briefly within the intellectual landscape of his era. Born in 1861 in Kraljevec (then part of the Austrian Empire), Steiner was educated in Vienna and Rostock, earning his doctorate in philosophy with a dissertation on Fichte's theory of knowledge. His early career was devoted to editing the scientific writings of Goethe at the Weimar Archive, and his mature philosophical position was shaped by a sustained engagement with German Idealism—particularly Fichte, Schelling, and Hegel—alongside the epistemology of Franz Brentano and the phenomenology of experience that would later find expression in Edmund Husserl. Steiner's distinctive move, however, was to treat the domain of spiritual experience not as a subject for theological dogma or speculative metaphysics alone, but as a legitimate field of "spiritual science" (Geisteswissenschaft) subject to the same rigour and methodical discipline as natural science. His anthroposophical system thus represents a remarkable attempt to integrate the claims of esoteric tradition with the standards of modern epistemology—an attempt that, whatever its ultimate philosophical merits, places him in a unique position within the history of Western thought at the intersection of philosophy, spirituality, and the emerging sciences of the mind [3].

It is within this intellectual context that Steiner's engagement with the Apostle Paul must be understood. Paul was not, for Steiner, merely a subject of historical or exegetical interest. He was, in the deepest sense, a colleague across the centuries—a figure who had, through a unique initiatory experience, arrived at insights into the nature of human consciousness and its relationship to the spiritual world that were directly continuous with Steiner's own anthroposophical discoveries. When Steiner reads Galatians or Romans, he is not performing the kind of philological or historical-critical exegesis that has dominated academic biblical scholarship since the Enlightenment. He is, rather, reading Paul as a fellow spiritual scientist whose testimony, properly understood, illuminates the same supersensible realities that Steiner himself had investigated through the methods of spiritual science. The result is a reading of Paul that is simultaneously deeply engaged with the Pauline texts and radically at odds with the conventions of academic biblical scholarship—a reading that must be evaluated not only on its exegetical merits but on its philosophical coherence and its contribution to an enlarged understanding of human consciousness.

Paul and the "Law" in Steiner's View: From Pedagogue to Prison

To appreciate the radicality of Steiner's interpretation, it is necessary first to situate the Pauline texts within their own theological context. The Apostle Paul's doctrine of the law, as expressed principally in Romans 3–7 and Galatians 3–4, represents one of the most debated and contested theological terrains in the history of Christian thought. The traditional Reformation interpretation, associated with Luther and Calvin, reads Paul as drawing a sharp antithesis between the "works of the law" through which no human being can be justified before God, and "faith in Christ" by which the sinner is declared righteous by divine grace alone. On this reading, the law functions primarily as a mirror to expose human sinfulness and as a preparation for the gospel of grace [4]. The so-called "New Perspective on Paul," developed from the late 1970s by E.P. Sanders, James Dunn, and N.T. Wright, challenged this Lutheran paradigm by arguing that Paul's critique of the "works of the law" was directed primarily at the Jewish identity markers—circumcision, dietary laws, Sabbath observance—that demarcated the people of the covenant from the Gentiles, rather than at any general principle of merit-based righteousness [4]. This sociological and covenantal reading has transformed the landscape of Pauline scholarship but has itself been subject to ongoing debate and revision.

Steiner's approach departs from both these frameworks at almost every decisive point, while nonetheless remaining deeply engaged with the Pauline texts themselves. In his 1908 Berlin lecture, "The Apostle Paul and Theosophy" (GA 68a), Steiner begins from the

premise that Paul's confrontation with the law is not primarily a juridical, sociological, or soteriological matter, but a developmental and evolutionary one [1]. For Steiner, the Mosaic law—the Torah in its full scope—represented a necessary and providential structure for an earlier stage of human evolution, one in which the individual human "I" had not yet sufficiently developed the capacity for self-governance. The law provided the human soul with an external moral scaffolding, a "group soul" ethic that sustained communal spiritual life during a period when individual consciousness had not yet matured to the point of moral autonomy. Obedience to the law was not, in this view, a misguided attempt at self-justification before God; it was the appropriate expression of a consciousness that had not yet achieved the developmental maturity to legislate for itself from within.

This interpretation is elaborated more fully in Steiner's lecture series "The Bhagavad Gita and the Epistles of Paul" (GA 142), delivered in Cologne in December 1912 and January 1913. Here Steiner explains that the "law," understood in both its ceremonial and moral dimensions, functioned as what Paul himself calls in Galatians 3:24 a "paidagogos" or "schoolmaster"—a guardian entrusted with the care of an immature child [5]. In ancient Greek society, the paidagogos was not the teacher himself but the slave who accompanied the child to school, ensuring that the child reached the place of learning safely and behaved appropriately along the way. The law, on Steiner's reading, plays precisely this custodial role in the evolution of consciousness: it accompanies the developing human soul through a stage of moral immaturity, providing external structure and guidance until the soul has developed sufficient inner resources to be its own moral authority. This is not a critique of the law as such—Steiner is at pains to insist on the genuine spiritual wisdom encoded in the Mosaic legislation—but a recognition of its inherently transitional character within the larger arc of human spiritual development.

In Steiner's reading, the "bondage to the law" about which Paul speaks with such urgency in Galatians 4 and Romans 7 is not simply bondage to external religious regulations, but bondage to the deeper karmic law that governs the soul's inherited consequences across multiple incarnations. Steiner understands karma not as a foreign Eastern concept imported into Pauline theology, but as the universal spiritual law that underlies and gives rise to the various specific legal and moral codes that different civilisations have articulated in their own idiom. The Torah, in this sense, is the particular historical form in which the universal karmic law presented itself to the consciousness of the Jewish people at a particular stage of their spiritual evolution. Paul's critique of "the law" is thus, at a deeper level, a critique of the entire karmic structure of pre-Golgotha human existence—the structure that bound the soul to the consequences of its past actions and limited its freedom of moral self-determination.

The transition from outer law to inner spirit, which Paul narrates as the advent of faith and the gift of the Holy Spirit, represents for Steiner the moment at which individual human consciousness reaches a sufficient degree of maturity to govern itself from within. This moment is not arbitrary or merely historical; it is rooted in the cosmic event of the Mystery of Golgotha, which Steiner regards as the pivot-point of all earthly evolution. Before Golgotha, the Christ-being—the highest of cosmic spiritual hierarchies—had not yet united itself with the Earth and with human physical existence. After Golgotha, this union became an accomplished spiritual fact, and it is this fact that Paul, uniquely among the apostles, was the first to perceive and articulate in the form of a theology of justification by faith. The "law" thus belongs to the pre-Golgotha world; "grace" belongs to the post-Golgotha world in which the Christ-impulse has become available as an inner transforming power to every individual human being.

It is worth noting, in this context, the parallel that Steiner draws between the function of the Mosaic law in the evolution of Semitic consciousness and the function of the ancient caste system and dharmic obligations in the evolution of Indian consciousness, as expressed in the Bhagavad Gita. In both cases, Steiner argues, the external moral-legal structure served as a necessary scaffolding for a stage of soul development in which the individual "I" was not yet sufficiently individualised to bear the full weight of moral self-determination. The difference, Steiner maintains, is that the Indian stream arrived at a spiritual summit—the teaching of nishkama karma, action without personal attachment—that pointed toward liberation from karmic bondage but could not, within the categories of ancient wisdom, fully achieve it. The Pauline gospel of the Christ-impulse represents the decisive step beyond this threshold: not merely the purification of action from personal attachment, but the actual transformation of the karmic structure of the soul through its union with the universal I of the Christ-being [5].

Justification by Faith as Inner Transformation: The "Not I, but Christ in Me"

The pivot of Steiner's entire reading of Pauline theology is the verse in Galatians 2:20: "It is no longer I who live, but Christ who lives in me." Steiner returns to this verse repeatedly across his lectures on Paul, treating it as the compressed formula for a complete philosophical anthropology of spiritual transformation [1,5,6]. To understand what Steiner means by this, it is necessary to appreciate his distinctive account of the structure of the human being.

For Steiner, the human being is constituted by four principal members: the physical body, the etheric or life body, the astral body (the bearer of soul experience—feeling, desire, passion), and the "I" or ego, the seat of self-conscious individuality. The "I" is

the distinctively human principle, that which distinguishes the human being from the animal kingdom, and it is the seat of both moral freedom and karmic responsibility. Under the old dispensation of the law, the "I" was shaped and constrained by the inherited consequences of past karma—what Steiner calls the "lower I" or the "nature-I," bound to the bloodline, the racial heritage, and the group-soul identity of one's people. The "works of the law," performed out of obligation and under the shadow of ancestral consequence, represented the moral expression of this bound and inherited self.

Justification, in Steiner's reading, is the process by which this lower, karmically-bound "I" is progressively transformed by the in-dwelling of the Christ-impulse into what Steiner calls the "higher I" or the "universal I." The Pauline concept of pistis—faith—is reinterpreted by Steiner not as the intellectual or volitional act of assenting to doctrinal propositions about Christ, but as a cognitive faculty, a form of spiritual perception or "spiritual seeing," through which the individual soul opens itself to the in-working of the Christ-being [1]. Justification is thus not a legal acquittal—a forensic declaration by God that the sinner is counted righteous on account of Christ's merits—but an actual inner transformation, an ontological event in which the nature of the soul is genuinely altered through its alignment with the Christ-impulse. This distinction between forensic and transformative justification is not original to Steiner; it has a long history in Catholic and Orthodox theological tradition. What is original is Steiner's grounding of the transformative account in an explicitly evolutionary and esoteric framework.

This reinterpretation has significant implications for Steiner's broader philosophical project. In his 1894 work "The Philosophy of Freedom" ("Philosophie der Freiheit"), Steiner had already argued that genuine moral freedom consists not in obedience to external law—whether natural law, moral law, or divine commandment—but in the capacity of the human "I" to act from out of its own deepest nature, guided by "moral intuitions" that are perceived directly rather than derived from heteronomous authority [3]. The Pauline theology of grace and justification provides, in Steiner's retrospective reading, a spiritual-experiential grounding for precisely this philosophical account of freedom. The "Not I, but Christ in me" of Galatians 2:20 does not represent the dissolution of the individual self into an impersonal divine absolute; on the contrary, it represents the transformation of the individual "I" through its union with the "universal I" of the Christ-being, enabling it to act, for the first time, out of genuine inner freedom rather than out of karmic or legal compulsion. Freedom, on this account, is not the absence of constraint but the positive achievement of self-determination through spiritual transformation—a definition that resonates with the "positive freedom" that Isaiah Berlin would later distinguish from the merely "negative" freedom of absence of external interference.

The theological implications of this reading are far-reaching. Where traditional Protestant exegesis reads Romans 7—the agonised portrait of the person who "wills to do good but cannot"—as a description of the unregenerate sinner under the law, Steiner reads it as a phenomenology of the human "I" caught at the threshold between two evolutionary stages: the old consciousness shaped by karma and blood-law, and the new consciousness made possible by the Christ-impulse [6]. The "wretchedness" of Romans 7:24 ("wretched man that I am! Who will deliver me from this body of death?") is, for Steiner, not the cry of the individual sinner seeking divine pardon, but the cry of the evolving human "I" recognising its own bondage to the lower nature and its need for transformation at a deeper ontological level. The deliverance that comes in Romans 8—through the Spirit of life in Christ Jesus—is accordingly not the divine pardon of a legal debt but the actual liberation of the "I" from its karmic imprisonment through its union with the Christ-being.

Steiner's reading resonates here with insights from Christian mystical theology, particularly the tradition of Meister Eckhart (c. 1260–1328) and Hildegard of Bingen (1098–1179). Eckhart's central teaching concerning the "birth of the Word in the soul"—the descent of the divine Logos into the innermost ground of the human person (the "Seelengrund")—articulates an analogous dynamic of transformation through indwelling [7]. For Eckhart, the human soul must become "nothing"—must practise radical "Abgeschiedenheit" or detachment from all created things, including the ego-self—in order to become the pure vessel of the divine birth. Eckhart's famous declaration that "God's ground and my ground is the same ground" expresses, in the metaphysical language of medieval German mysticism, the same insight that Steiner identifies as the Pauline discovery: the capacity of the individual human "I," when stripped of ego-attachment and opened to the divine, to become the vehicle of the universal divine life. The conversion of Saul, interpreted through Eckhart's psychological lens, represents the paradigmatic instance of what Eckhart calls "metanoia"—a total turning of the soul from the "imagined God" of external religious observation to the "essential God" encountered in the ground of the soul itself.

Hildegard of Bingen's concept of "viriditas"—the greening, life-giving power of divine grace that restores the spiritually arid soul—offers a complementary image of the transformative work that Steiner identifies with the Christ-impulse [8]. For Hildegard, the soul in its natural condition is a vessel of divine life-force, animated by the creative power of the Holy Spirit in a manner analogous to the greening vitality that rain brings to the parched earth. Sin, or spiritual alienation, is experienced as a drying-out, a withering of this inner vitality in which the soul loses its capacity for genuine moral and spiritual creativity. Grace, accordingly, is not primarily forensic pardon but the restoration of living vitality: the re-moistening of the dried-out soul through the overflowing

generosity of the divine fire. The conversion of Saul to Paul is the archetypal instance of viriditas—the moment at which the hardened, law-bound, self-righteous "dry heart" of the Pharisee is suddenly flooded with the living light of divine grace and transformed into the instrument of a universal spiritual mission.

The Damascus Road: Etheric Realm and Cognitive Initiation

The turning point of Pauline theology, in Steiner's reading, is the Damascus experience recorded in Acts 9 and narrated autobiographically by Paul in Galatians 1 and 1 Corinthians 15. Traditional theology has generally interpreted this event as a miraculous conversion experience—a divine intervention in which the risen Christ appeared to Paul in a blinding light, arresting his persecution of the early Christian community and commissioning him as an apostle to the Gentiles. Steiner, however, approaches this event through the categories of his spiritual science, arriving at an interpretation that is at once more radical and more philosophically nuanced [6].

In "From Jesus to Christ" (GA 131), delivered in Karlsruhe in 1911, Steiner categorises the Damascus experience as a breakthrough into the etheric realm—a perception of the supersensible, etheric body of the risen Christ, who, since the Mystery of Golgotha, has been present in the etheric envelope of the Earth [6]. Unlike the physically resurrected body perceived by the disciples in the post-Easter appearances described in the Gospels, the Christ encountered by Paul on the Damascus road was an etheric manifestation—a perception of the life-force body of the Christ-being within the living atmosphere of the Earth. This distinction explains why Paul, who never knew Jesus in the flesh, could nonetheless claim to have "seen" the Lord (1 Corinthians 9:1) and to have received the gospel not from human tradition but by direct revelation (Galatians 1:12).

The etheric body, in Steiner's anthroposophical framework, is the life-force body that organises and animates the physical organism, maintaining it as a living system against the constant tendency toward physical dissolution and death. Steiner argues that in the case of the Christ-being, the etheric body was not simply dissolved into the general etheric sphere after the death of the physical body, but was permanently united with the etheric sphere of the Earth—creating what Steiner calls the "etheric body of the risen Christ," a living, supersensible presence that permeates the etheric envelope of the planet and can, under certain conditions, be directly perceived by human beings with sufficiently developed spiritual senses [9,10].

Paul's Damascus vision was the first historical instance of such perception in the post-Golgotha era. The blinding light and the voice of the Damascus road represent, in Steiner's reading, the moment at which Paul's latent spiritual faculties were suddenly and dramatically awakened—not by a subjective psychological crisis, but by a genuine supersensible encounter with the etheric Christ [1,6]. The temporary blindness that followed—three days without sight, during which Paul neither ate nor drank—corresponds to the state of inner recollection that follows a genuine initiatory experience, a period of gestation in which the new spiritual perception must be integrated into the soul's ordinary cognitive life.

Steiner further interprets the Damascus experience as a "premature" maturation of a cognitive faculty that all human beings will eventually develop in the future course of human evolution. He argues that as human consciousness continues to evolve beyond its current materialistic phase, humanity will gradually develop the capacity to perceive the etheric world with a clarity analogous to that with which we currently perceive the physical world. Paul's Damascus vision was a prophetic anticipation of this future faculty—a "Cognitive Feature" granted to Paul before its time by the grace of the Christ-being, enabling him to serve as the herald of a new stage of human spiritual development [6]. This anticipatory character of Paul's Damascus experience helps to explain why Paul's theology has always contained an irreducible "futuristic" element that conventional exegesis tends to discount or allegorise.

This interpretation places the Damascus experience within a broadly esoteric context that Steiner also explores through the lens of the ancient Jewish Merkabah mystical tradition, in which contemplative adepts sought to ascend through the heavenly palaces to the vision of the Divine Chariot-Throne [9]. Steiner identifies a fundamental difference of direction: where the ancient Merkabah mystic sought to ascend inward and upward through blood-bound, instinctual clairvoyance, the Pauline mystic opens outward, perceiving the descent of the divine into the etheric envelope of the Earth through the event of Golgotha. This shift from "ascent" to "descent" mysticism constitutes for Steiner the essential cognitive revolution inaugurated by Paul's Damascus experience [11]—a revolution that mirrors, at the level of mystical practice, the theological shift from works performed in obedience to external authority to the faith that opens the soul to the universally available Christ-impulse.

The Damascus experience also functions, in Steiner's reading, as the paradigm for the modern understanding of initiation. Before the Mystery of Golgotha, initiation was a carefully guarded esoteric process conducted within the ancient mystery schools. With the Damascus event, Steiner argues, initiation becomes available to every individual human being—not as a secret esoteric rite but as the inner experience of the Christ-impulse working within the human "I." In "Christianity as Mystical Fact" (GA 8), Steiner describes the Mystery of Golgotha as the "mystery of mysteries" performed not in the secrecy of an ancient

temple but in the full light of history, making the transformative power of initiation available to all [9].

Steiner's Lectures on Paul: Primary Source Analysis

A fuller understanding of Steiner's Pauline interpretation requires close engagement with his principal lecture sources. The 1908 Berlin lecture "The Apostle Paul and Theosophy" (GA 68a) represents one of Steiner's most concentrated treatments of the Paul question [1]. Delivered at the Logenhaus on Sögesträße before an audience of theosophically informed listeners, the lecture opens with a remarkable methodological declaration: that the true significance of Paul can only be understood if one approaches his testimony not as a matter of historical criticism or doctrinal analysis, but as the record of a genuine supersensible experience. Steiner identifies Paul as the founder of the specifically Christian worldview, grounded not in a transmitted tradition but in a personal supersensible encounter with the risen Christ.

In GA 142, "The Bhagavad Gita and the Epistles of Paul," Steiner approaches the Pauline texts from a comparative philosophical perspective [5]. The juxtaposition is deliberate: the Bhagavad Gita represents the highest flowering of the pre-Christian spiritual stream, perceiving the divine through the internal, clairvoyant faculties of the yoga tradition. The Pauline epistles represent the emergence of a qualitatively new spiritual reality: the in-breaking of the Christ-impulse into the evolutionary process. Steiner devotes considerable attention to the structural parallels and deep differences between Arjuna's crisis on the battlefield of Kurukshetra and Paul's crisis on the Damascus road. Both figures confront the inadequacy of their existing framework and are led through that confrontation to a higher level of spiritual awareness. But where Arjuna is guided by Krishna as cosmic teacher speaking to the soul from without, Paul is overwhelmed by the Christ-impulse as a living supersensible reality that enters directly into his soul from within. This difference, Steiner argues, is expressive of the fundamental evolutionary distinction between the ancient Indian stream and the Pauline-Christian stream.

Steiner's lectures on "The Meaning of Easter: St. Paul and the Christ Impulse" (GA 198), delivered in April 1920, extend this analysis into the domain of spiritual science [10]. Delivered in the aftermath of the First World War, these lectures carry a tone of urgent contemporary relevance. Steiner argues that for the modern soul, which has passed through the discipline of materialistic science and cannot simply return to pre-scientific faith, the Pauline gospel offers a path that is neither credulous belief nor sceptical materialism, but a genuine "science of the spirit." The image Steiner uses—of the materialistic worldview as a "tombstone" rolled upon the human soul—evokes both the Easter resurrection narrative and the suffocating weight of a scientistic culture that has evacuated the world of spiritual meaning. The Pauline gospel, understood in Steiner's evolutionary-esoteric sense, offers the power to roll away this spiritual tombstone—not by rejecting modern science but by developing the cognitive faculties that make possible a genuinely scientific investigation of the spiritual dimensions of reality.

Across these lecture series, certain key themes recur with notable consistency. Chief among them is Steiner's insistence that the Pauline transition from Law to Grace is not primarily a soteriological or juridical event but a genuinely evolutionary cognitive event: a transformation in the very structure and capacity of human consciousness. This emphasis distinguishes Steiner sharply from both the Lutheran-Calvinist tradition and from the New Perspective on Paul, which, while rejecting the traditional Lutheran reading, still operates primarily within the categories of historical-critical exegesis and sociological analysis of early Judaism [4,12]. A secondary but significant theme is Steiner's consistent emphasis on the apostolic authority of Paul as grounded not in ecclesiastical commission but in direct supersensible experience—an emphasis that places Paul in the tradition of the ancient mystery initiates while simultaneously marking his experience as qualitatively new and historically unprecedented.

The Karma Connection: Steiner, Buddhism, and the "Lord of Karma"

One of the most distinctive and philosophically provocative aspects of Steiner's Pauline interpretation is his engagement with the question of karma and its relationship to the Pauline concepts of law, guilt, and freedom. Steiner accepts the basic Buddhist and Hindu concept of karma as a genuine description of the causal structure of human experience across multiple incarnations. The "law" of karma corresponds, in Steiner's view, to what Paul describes as the "law" that holds the soul in bondage: not merely the external Mosaic legislation, but the deeper, cosmic law of cause and effect that shapes the soul's karmic inheritance [1,6]. Buddhism, Steiner argues, correctly identifies this karmic law and its binding power; the concept of "samsara" accurately describes the spiritual-developmental situation of humanity prior to the Mystery of Golgotha.

However, Steiner draws a fundamental distinction between the Buddhist and Pauline-Steinerian understandings of the path to liberation. Buddhism teaches that liberation is achieved through the gradual extinguishing of craving and attachment, culminating in the dissolution of the individual self ("anatta" or no-self) into the unconditioned reality of Nirvana. The Pauline path, as Steiner interprets it, moves in a precisely opposite direction: not the dissolution of the individual "I" into an undifferentiated absolute, but its transformation and strengthening through its union with the universal "I" of the Christ-being [5]. This difference has

profound implications for the understanding of human freedom and moral responsibility: where the Buddhist path ultimately relativises the individual self as a conventional construct to be dissolved, the Pauline path affirms the individual self as the bearer of an eternal spiritual uniqueness that is not dissolved but transfigured by its union with the divine.

The key conceptual innovation in Steiner's position is his designation of the Christ-being as the "Lord of Karma." In GA 131, Steiner argues that since the Mystery of Golgotha, the Christ-being has assumed the administration of the karmic law as it applies to human beings [6]. This means that the Christ-impulse offers not simply relief from the consequences of past karma in the sense of divine pardon, but the actual transformation of the soul's karmic structure through the in-working of the Christ-force. A contribution to "Das Goetheanum" has noted that Steiner's understanding of karma in this context is characterised by "karma without guilt"—karma understood not as retributive debt to be paid off but as the occasion for creative moral transformation and the development of genuine spiritual freedom [13]. This reframing of karma as opportunity rather than punishment resonates with contemporary developments in positive psychology and the therapeutic literature on resilience and post-traumatic growth.

The comparison with the Bhagavad Gita, developed extensively in GA 142, deepens this analysis further. Steiner reads the Gita as the supreme expression of the ancient Hindu spiritual stream, which understood dharma—right conduct—as participation in the cosmic order rather than submission to an external personal deity. The Gita's teaching of "nishkama karma"—action without attachment to its fruits, offered as a sacrifice to the divine—represents the highest achievement of pre-Christian spirituality in the direction of moral freedom [5]. Paul's gospel of freedom in the Spirit represents the next evolutionary stage: where the Gita liberated the human soul from attachment to personal consequences, Paul's Christ-impulse liberates the soul from the very structure of karmic bondage by elevating the individual "I" to the level of the universal. In Steiner's grand evolutionary narrative, the ancient Indian wisdom stream and the Pauline Christian stream are not rivals but complementary stages of a single developmental arc in the spiritual history of humanity.

Soul Salvation and the "Second Birth": A Fourfold Anthropology

Steiner's interpretation of Pauline soteriology culminates in his distinctive account of "soul salvation" as an evolutionary process involving the transformation of all four members of the human being: the physical body, the etheric body, the astral body, and the "I." The concept of the "second birth," which Paul himself introduces (cf. Galatians 4:19, where he writes of being "in the anguish of childbirth" until Christ is "formed in" his converts), is central to Steiner's soteriology. In Steiner's reading, this second birth is a literal description of a spiritual developmental process that can and should occur during physical life [6]. The "First Adam" of Pauline theology (Romans 5; 1 Corinthians 15) represents the human being as shaped by the natural processes of physical birth and inheritance. The "Second Adam"—identified by Paul with the risen Christ—represents the human being as transformed by the in-working of the Christ-impulse: the being whose "I" has progressively spiritualised the astral body (transforming it into "Spirit Self" or Manas), the etheric body (transforming it into "Life Spirit" or Buddhi), and ultimately the physical body itself (transforming it into "Spirit Man" or Atma).

This evolutionary soteriology has important implications for Steiner's understanding of the relationship between salvation and freedom. Because the transformation of the human members is a genuine developmental process requiring the active cooperation of the individual "I," it cannot be imposed from without by divine decree; it must be freely chosen and actively pursued by the individual soul. This is the deepest reason why Steiner consistently interprets the Pauline "grace" not as an overwhelming divine power that bypasses human agency—as in certain Calvinist accounts of irresistible grace—but as a spiritual life-impulse that works through the freely consenting human "I." The Christ-impulse does not abolish human freedom; it creates the conditions under which genuine human freedom first becomes truly possible. In this sense, Steiner's soteriology is radically synergist: salvation is a cooperative venture between the human "I" and the Christ-being, in which neither partner absorbs or overwhelms the other.

Steiner's account of salvation also incorporates a distinctive cosmic Christology that draws extensively on the later Pauline letters—particularly Colossians and Ephesians—as well as on the Prologue to the Gospel of John. The Christ-being is not simply the historical figure Jesus of Nazareth, but the highest of the cosmic spiritual hierarchies—the divine "Logos" through whom all things were made, and who, through the Mystery of Golgotha, united himself with the evolutionary destiny of the Earth and of humanity. The "salvation" that the Christ-being makes available is accordingly not the rescue of individual souls from the consequences of Adam's fall, but the transformation of the entire evolutionary trajectory of humanity and, through humanity, of the Earth itself. This cosmic eschatology finds its Pauline expression in Romans 8:18–25, where Paul describes the whole creation as "groaning in travail together" and eagerly awaiting the "revealing of the children of God"—a passage that Steiner reads as a genuinely cosmic evolutionary vision [6,9].

The psychological dimensions of this account deserve explicit attention. Steiner's description of the "Guardian of the Threshold"—the encounter with one's own shadow self that the soul must face before advancing to higher levels of spiritual consciousness—has been compared to Jung's concept of confrontation with the "Shadow" as a necessary stage of the individuation process [14]. Paul's own agonised self-description in Romans 7 reads, in this light, as a phenomenological description of precisely such a threshold encounter. Recent scholarship, including Ikechukwu Anthony Kanu's detailed study of the intersection of esotericism and human transformation in Steiner's Christology, has further explored these psychological dimensions, noting that Steiner consistently frames the Pauline spiritual transformation in cognitive and developmental terms that anticipate later psychological theories of consciousness development [15]. On this account, Steiner's Paul is not a figure of ancient religious history but a prototype of the modern seeking individual—one who must, in every generation, undergo the transition from law to grace, from inherited moral scaffolding to inner spiritual freedom, from group-soul consciousness to individual spiritual agency.

The Melchizedek tradition provides a further dimension of Steiner's soteriology. In his lectures on "Christianity in Human Evolution" (GA 109) and "The Gospel of St. Matthew" (GA 123), Steiner interprets the enigmatic figure of Melchizedek—the priest-king of Salem who blesses Abraham with bread and wine in Genesis 14—as a great "Sun Initiate" whose mission was to implant the spiritual impulse of the future Christ-event within the Abrahamic stream of humanity. The bread and wine of Melchizedek's blessing prefigure the Eucharistic elements of the Last Supper, connecting the ancient mystery of solar initiation with the specifically Christian mystery of the transformed human body. Steiner's meditation on the Melchizedek theme—taken up in Hebrews' extended comparison of Christ as a priest "after the order of Melchizedek" (Hebrews 5:10)—adds a liturgical and sacramental dimension to his Pauline soteriology, suggesting that the transformation of the human soul through the Christ-impulse is not only a cognitive and moral event but a genuinely physical one, in which the very substance of the human body is progressively spiritualised through its encounter with the divine life-force.

Steiner's Paul in the Context of Gnosticism, Kabbalah, the Holy Grail, and Žižek's Kenotic Dialectic

Steiner's interpretation of Paul does not exist in isolation; it is embedded within a broader engagement with the esoteric heritage of Western spirituality, including Gnosticism, Kabbalah, and the Grail tradition. These connections illuminate the wider intellectual and spiritual context within which Steiner's anthroposophical reading of Paul is embedded and offer important comparative perspectives for the interdisciplinary aims of this article.

Steiner's relationship to Gnosticism is complex and carefully qualified. In his lecture "Gnostic Doctrines and Supersensible Influences in Europe" (GA 225), Steiner acknowledges the genuine esoteric insights contained in ancient Gnostic systems, particularly their recognition of the tension between the spiritual origin of the human soul and its immersion in the material world, and their understanding of the Christ-event as a cosmic drama of redemption [16]. The Gnostic concept of the divine spark—the fragment of divine light imprisoned within the material world and seeking its way back to its source through gnosis—corresponds in important ways to Steiner's own account of the human "I" as a divine principle that has descended into earthly existence and must find its way back to its spiritual origin through the transformation effected by the Christ-impulse. At the same time, Steiner distinguishes his approach from ancient Gnosticism by insisting that genuine spiritual knowledge cannot be the privilege of a spiritual elite but must be the common heritage of all human beings, universally available through the Christ-impulse. This universalist emphasis aligns Steiner closely with the central Pauline conviction that there is "neither Jew nor Greek, slave nor free, male nor female" in Christ (Galatians 3:28)—a declaration that Steiner reads as a metaphysical statement of the universal availability of the Christ-impulse as a transforming power.

The Kabbalistic tradition, which locates the divine spark (the "nitzotz") within each human being and describes the soul's journey of return to its divine source, offers another significant point of resonance with Steiner's reading of Paul [17]. Steiner's account of the "I" as the bearer of a divine spark that must be progressively liberated from the constraints of the physical, etheric, and astral bodies and united with the universal "I" of the Christ-being parallels the Kabbalistic teaching of "tikkun olam"—the repair or restoration of the divine sparks scattered through creation. Both traditions describe a process of spiritual transformation in which the human soul becomes the agent of cosmic redemption through its own progressive spiritualisation.

Steiner's treatment of the Holy Grail legend offers a further dimension of this complex symbolic universe. Steiner explicitly rejects the interpretation of the Grail as a physical object or a literal royal bloodline, insisting instead that the Grail is a spiritual symbol of the transformed human being—the "tree of life" spiritualised through the in-working of the Christ-impulse [18]. The "Holy Blood" that the Grail contains is not the physical blood of Christ understood as a genetic or dynastic inheritance, but the spiritualised "I," the ego that has been purified and elevated through its union with the Christ-being. This symbolic reading connects directly with Steiner's Pauline anthropology: the "first Adam" of blood and earthly inheritance gives way to the "second Adam" of spirit and conscious freedom.

Joseph Campbell's reflection on the crucified god in "The Hero with a Thousand Faces" as the supreme mythological symbol of the coincidence of opposites—whereby "God assumes the life of man and man releases the God within himself at the mid-point of the cross"—illuminates this dimension of Steiner's thought with particular vividness [19]. For Steiner, the mythological truth that Campbell identifies is not merely a psychological archetype but a spiritual-scientific reality: the actual cosmic event of Golgotha, in which the divine and human met in the individual person of Jesus Christ, transforming both divinity and humanity in the encounter.

A powerful philosophical complement and critical counterpoint to Steiner's position is provided by Slavoj Žižek's analysis of divine kenosis in his book "Sex and the Failed Absolute" [20]. Žižek identifies what he considers the key dimension of the theological revolution of Christianity: the alienation of humanity from God must be projected back into God itself—a movement he reads through the Hegelian lens of divine self dire-redemption. For Žižek, this is the speculative content of kenosis: God does not simply descend condescendingly to rescue a fallen humanity but is genuinely divided within himself, genuinely finite and mortal in the figure of Christ, genuinely abandoned by God on the cross. The lament of the crucified Christ—"My God, my God, why have you forsaken me?"—is not a rhetorical moment in a drama whose divine outcome is already assured, but the expression of a real rupture within the divine itself [20]. In this way, Žižek draws from Hegel's insight that the disparity between subject and substance implies a disparity of substance with regard to itself: God's self-alienation in Christ is the condition of possibility for humanity's reconciliation with God. The unity of man and God in Christianity differs fundamentally from its pagan counterparts, where the human being must overcome material impurity through effortful ascent to rejoin the divine. In Christianity, on the contrary, God falls from himself, and the believer achieves unity with God not by direct spiritual elevation but only by identifying with this God abandoned by himself. The very gap that separates the believer from God is thus, paradoxically, what unites him with God [20].

This Žižekian reading constitutes a philosophically sophisticated parallel to, and partial challenge of, Steiner's position. Both thinkers centre the distinctive character of Christianity on the event of the cross and on the nature of the divine self-giving that it enacts. Both insist that the unity of divine and human in Christianity is not achieved through the pagan model of human ascent through purification, but through a prior movement of divine descent and self-emptying. And both draw on the Hegelian conceptual apparatus—in Steiner's case implicitly, in Žižek's case explicitly—to articulate the dialectical structure of this divine self-emptying. The difference, however, is equally instructive. For Žižek, the kenotic self-division of God is a permanent structural feature of the divine-human relationship: the gap between humanity and God is not overcome but inhabited as the very medium of their unity. For Steiner, by contrast, the cosmic event of Golgotha represents a genuine ontological transformation: the Christ-being has actually united itself with the etheric sphere of the Earth, and the gap between humanity and God is progressively closed through the evolutionary transformation of human consciousness by the Christ-impulse. Žižek's kenosis is dialectically negative—the wound that never fully heals, the absence that constitutes the very site of divine presence. Steiner's kenosis is evolutionarily positive—the wound that is healed precisely by being entered into fully, the descent that becomes the condition of an ascending transformation. Together, these two perspectives illuminate the full complexity of what is at stake in Paul's astonishing declaration in Galatians 2:20: that the self that lives is no longer merely individual, but is the site at which the divine and human discover their unity through the mediation of the gap that separates and the grace that bridges them.

The Apostle Paul as "Philosopher of Freedom": Steiner and Global Philosophy

The designation of Paul as a "Philosopher of Freedom"—used in a 2025 article in "Das Goetheanum" by Michael Debus and resonant with Steiner's own characterisation of Paul across his lecture cycles—captures with particular aptness the distinctively philosophical dimension of Steiner's Pauline interpretation [13]. Steiner does not read Paul primarily as a dogmatic theologian or as an ecclesiastical architect, but as a thinker and experiencer who, through his Damascus initiation, gained direct access to a new stage of human cognitive evolution and articulated its implications in the theological language available to him. The philosophical content of Paul's thought, on this reading, exceeds and transcends its specifically theological vehicle: what Paul articulates as the transition from "works of the law" to "faith in Christ" is, at a deeper level, the philosophical recognition that genuine freedom—moral, spiritual, and cognitive—is not achieved by obedience to external authority, however divinely sanctioned, but by the inner transformation of the self through its union with the source of its own being.

From a global philosophical perspective, the significance of Steiner's reading lies in its capacity to situate Paul's theological insights within a framework that transcends the boundaries of any single religious tradition. By connecting Paul's transition from Law to Grace with the Eastern concept of karma and its transcendence, with the Vedantic understanding of the Atman and its universalisation in the Christ, and with the Buddhist concept of liberation—while distinguishing the Pauline path from the Buddhist through its affirmation of individual selfhood—Steiner constructs a genuinely intercultural and transreligious philosophy

of spiritual evolution [5]. This construction anticipates developments in comparative philosophy and theology that have gathered momentum in the decades since his death.

The study by Monika Spivak, "The Christology of Bely the Anthroposophist: Andrei Bely, Rudolf Steiner, and the Apostle Paul," published in the journal "Religions" in 2021, demonstrates how Steiner's Pauline Christology shaped not only Anthroposophy as a spiritual movement but also the broader cultural and intellectual reception of Paul in the early twentieth century [21]. The anthroposophical reading of Paul, Spivak argues, represents a genuinely original contribution to the history of Pauline reception, one that cannot be reduced either to orthodox theological interpretation or to the category of mere esotericism. It engages seriously with the philosophical dimensions of the Pauline texts, attends carefully to the experiential and cognitive character of Paul's religious life, and situates Paul's thought within a broader evolutionary narrative that is philosophically sophisticated even where it is exegetically unconventional.

The significance of Steiner's approach for contemporary psychology and behavioural science lies in its model of consciousness transformation as a natural developmental process. Steiner's Paul undergoes not simply a moral conversion but a cognitive evolution: a genuine expansion and restructuring of his perceptual and conceptual capacities. This model of spiritual development as cognitive evolution anticipates, in important respects, the transpersonal psychology of Abraham Maslow and Ken Wilber, both of whom have described peak experiences and states of "self-transcendence" in terms that resonate with Steiner's account of the Damascus experience [22]. Maslow's concept of "peak experiences" corresponds, at the psychological level, to the kind of supersensible experience that Steiner attributes to Paul at Damascus. Wilber's four-quadrant model of consciousness development provides a contemporary theoretical framework within which Steiner's account of the evolution of consciousness through the Pauline transformation can be situated and partially corroborated.

The contemporary relevance of Steiner's Pauline interpretation extends beyond the academic disciplines, touching on some of the most pressing spiritual and existential questions of the present moment. In a cultural context marked by what sociologists have called the "spiritual but not religious" phenomenon—the widespread search for authentic spiritual experience and meaning outside the boundaries of institutional religion—Steiner's portrait of Paul as a "philosopher of freedom" who grounded his spiritual convictions in direct personal experience rather than inherited tradition speaks with particular force. The modern seeker who finds the law insufficient for their deepest spiritual needs, but who has not yet discovered the "grace" that would enable them to live from their own deepest spiritual centre, stands in a situation that Steiner's reading of Romans 7 illuminates with remarkable precision. The Pauline-Steinerian message, in this context, is one of profound encouragement: the anguish of the threshold is not the last word, and the Damascus moment—the moment at which the karmically-bound self encounters the transforming power of the universal Christ-impulse—is available, in principle, to every human being in every generation.

Conclusion: Paul in Global Philosophy—Steiner's Enduring Legacy

Rudolf Steiner's esoteric reinterpretation of Paul's doctrine of law and justification constitutes one of the most original and philosophically rich contributions to the modern reception of the Pauline corpus. By approaching Paul not as a dogmatic theologian but as a spiritual scientist and philosopher of freedom, Steiner illuminates dimensions of the Pauline texts that remain opaque on conventional exegetical approaches: the agonised phenomenology of Romans 7, the cosmic Christology of Colossians and Ephesians, the enigmatic formula of Galatians 2:20, and the eschatological anthropology of 1 Corinthians 15. In each case, Steiner's reading opens up a depth dimension that historical-critical exegesis, however indispensable, cannot fully penetrate—the dimension of lived supersensible experience and its transformative implications for the evolution of human consciousness.

Steiner's Paul serves as a bridge between the introspective depths of Eastern spirituality and the individualistic impulse of Western philosophy. By grounding Paul's doctrine of justification in a specific cognitive experience—the Damascus Road initiation—Steiner moves the conversation away from dogmatic belief and toward a science of the spirit. His reading suggests that the meaning of life is found in the "Damascus moment" of every individual—the point where the law of the past meets the freedom of the future, where the karmically-bound "I" encounters the transforming power of the universal Christ-impulse and begins its journey toward conscious spiritual freedom. This interpretation aligns with the journal's commitment to global philosophy and interdisciplinary inquiry, offering a philosophical analysis of spirituality that integrates karma, science, and the evolution of the soul.

The engagement with Žižek's Hegelian reading of divine kenosis enriches and complicates this picture in philosophically productive ways. Where Steiner situates the kenotic event of Golgotha as the foundation of an evolutionary transformation through which the gap between humanity and God is progressively overcome, Žižek insists that the gap itself—the very alienation of God from himself in the figure of the crucified Christ—is the permanent structural condition of genuine divine-human unity [20]. These two perspectives are not simply incompatible; they can be read as

complementary emphases within the same complex theological reality. Steiner's evolutionary optimism and Žižek's dialectical realism together constitute a richer account of the Christ-event and its implications for human freedom than either could provide alone. Both insist, against all purely human-centred models of spiritual ascent, that the initiative lies with the divine self-emptying; both refuse the comfortable pagan model of purification and elevation; and both find in the cry of dereliction from the cross not a moment of divine weakness but the supreme expression of a love that is prepared to inhabit the abyss of separation as the only path to genuine unity.

This interpretation is not without its limitations and critics. Steiner's esoteric premises—his claims about the etheric world, the supersensible reality of the Christ-being, and the reality of karma and reincarnation—are not amenable to verification by the methods of conventional academic scholarship, and his reading of Paul necessarily involves a degree of creative interpretation that goes well beyond what the texts themselves can sustain on philological or historical-critical grounds. Scholars working within the New Perspective on Paul tradition would object that Steiner's reading of the Pauline "law" in terms of karma and evolutionary developmental stages fundamentally misrepresents Paul's own Jewish theological horizon, in which the Torah was not a primitive developmental scaffold to be transcended but the covenant gift of a faithful God to a chosen people [4,12]. These are legitimate scholarly concerns that any fair assessment of Steiner's contribution must acknowledge.

And yet, assessed on its own terms as a contribution to the interdisciplinary study of consciousness, spirituality, and human freedom, Steiner's Paul offers resources of enduring significance. His insistence that the Pauline transition from law to grace is not merely a soteriological event but a cognitive-evolutionary one anticipates and resonates with contemporary developments in consciousness studies, transpersonal psychology, and the comparative philosophy of religion. His integration of Eastern and Western spiritual categories—karma and grace, anatta and the Christ-I, dharma and justification—models the kind of genuinely intercultural philosophical dialogue that the urgent challenges of our globalised and spiritually pluralistic world demand. The legacy of Steiner's esoteric Paul is not primarily a contribution to academic Pauline studies, though it has something to offer even there. It is above all a contribution to the perennial human question of what it means to be free—free from the compulsions of habit and inheritance, free for the fullness of conscious, loving, spiritually responsible action in the world. In this sense, Steiner's reinterpretation of Paul's doctrine of law and justification remains, more than a century after it was first articulated, a living philosophical resource for all who seek to understand the evolution of human consciousness and the spiritual foundations of individual freedom.

References

1. Steiner R (1908) The Apostle Paul and Theosophy [GA 68a], Berlin, 7 December 1908. In: Published by SteinerBooks Online Library, 2024.

2. Hollenback JB (1996) Mysticism: Experience, Response, and Empowerment (Pennsylvania: Pennsylvania State University Press).

3. Steiner R (1964) The Philosophy of Freedom (London: Rudolf Steiner Press). In: (Original work published 1894).

4. Dunn JDG (1983) The New Perspective on Paul. In: Bulletin of the John Rylands Library. 65(2): 95-122.

5. Steiner R (1971) The Bhagavad Gita and the Epistles of Paul [GA 142] (New York: Anthroposophic Press). (Original lectures delivered 1912–1913).

6. Steiner R (1973) From Jesus to Christ [GA 131] (London: Rudolf Steiner Press). (Original lectures delivered 1911).

7. Eckhart M (1981) Meister Eckhart: The Essential Sermons, Commentaries, Treatises, and Defense, trans. Edmund Colledge and Bernard McGinn (New York: Paulist Press).

8. Hildegard of Bingen (1990) Scivias, trans. Columba Hart and Jane Bishop (New York: Paulist Press). See also: Melbourne Catholic, St Hildegard of Bingen: A Woman of Nuclear Energy.

9. Steiner R (1967) Christianity as Mystical Fact [GA 8] (New York: Anthroposophic Press). (Original work published 1902).

10. Steiner R (1968) The Meaning of Easter: St. Paul and the Christ Impulse [GA 198] (London: Rudolf Steiner Press). (Original lectures delivered April 1920).

11. Fuller RM (2013) Throne-Chariot Mysticism, Paul, and the New Testament, unpublished paper.

12. Sanders EP (1977) Paul and Palestinian Judaism (London: SCM Press). See also: Wright NT (2009) Justification: God's Plan and Paul's Vision (London: SPCK).

13. Debus M (2025) Paul the Apostle: Philosopher of Freedom, Fellowship, and Otherness. Das Goetheanum.

14. Rudolf Steiner Studies. "Rudolf Steiner and the Guardian of the Threshold, Part I." steinerstudies.com. See also: Barnard GW (2016) "Conversion, Transformation, and Mysticism." Psychoanalysis, Culture & Society 21: 135-152.

15. Kanu IA (2025) Intersection of Esotericism and Human Transformation in Rudolf Steiner's Christology. Program in Education, Religion, and Spirituality, Harvard Divinity School.

16. Steiner R (1985) Gnostic Doctrines and Supersensible Influences in Europe [GA 225], Lecture of 15 July 1923.

17. Morray Jones CRA (2006) Was Paul a Kabbalist Mystic? truthunity.net/institute/was-paul-a-kabbalist-mystic. See also: Morray-Jones CRA, The Chariot-Throne Traditions and the Apostle Paul. marquette.edu/maqom/morraypar2.pdf

18. Rudolf Steiner Institute. "The Holy Grail, Chapter 5."

19. Campbell J (2008) The Hero with a Thousand Faces (Novato, CA: New World Library) pp. 222-223.

20. Žižek S (2020) Sex and the Failed Absolute (London/New York: Bloomsbury Academic) p. 21.

21. Spivak M (2021) The Christology of Bely the Anthroposophist: Andrei Bely, Rudolf Steiner, and the Apostle Paul. Religions 12(7): 519.

22. Barnard GW (2016) Conversion, Transformation, and Mysticism." Psychoanalysis, Culture & Society 21: 135-152.