

Reflections on Relational Psychology, Human Personhood and Consciousness in the Thought of Teilhard de Chardin

Nicos Kaloyirou*

LL.B. LL.M. barrister and solicitor, Adelaide, South Australia

Abstract

This article explores Pierre Teilhard de Chardin's vision of a non-dualistic, evolving universe where matter and spirit constitute unified dimensions of cosmic becoming. Through the lens of contemporary interpreters including Ilia Delio, Matt Segall, and process philosophy, Teilhard's concept of personhood emerges as a cosmic achievement rather than a static essence - consciousness evolving toward the Omega Point through relational energy. His Eucharistic theology presents matter not as obstacle but as vehicle for divine transformation, with the entire cosmos participating in ongoing Christogenesis. By integrating Jungian psychology, Platonic forms, and Whiteheadian process thought, this article demonstrates how Teilhard's relational framework offers profound insights into consciousness as the universe awakening to itself. Against the backdrop of dualistic models from Descartes to Wallace, Teilhard articulates a participatory cosmology where God and creation form a complementary whole, where suffering and sacrifice catalyze evolutionary emergence, and where love constitutes the fundamental unitive energy of existence. His vision challenges contemporary psychology and theology to recognize personhood as intrinsically relational, consciousness as cosmically embedded, and human development as integral to the universe's theogenetic unfolding.

Introduction

The Relational Universe

The universe, in Pierre Teilhard de Chardin's revolutionary vision, is not a collection of isolated entities but a profound relational whole where consciousness and matter interweave in dynamic co-creation. Writing from the geological trenches of China in 1923, Teilhard articulated what would become the cornerstone of his theological anthropology: "The more I look into myself the more I find myself possessed by the conviction that it is only the true science of Christ, running through all things, that is to say true mystical science, that really matters." [1]. This mystical science challenges the Cartesian bifurcation that has dominated Western thought since the 17th century, proposing instead that personhood emerges not as a pre-given essence but as an evolutionary achievement arising from the universe's relational depths.

Teilhard's thought arrives at a critical juncture for psychology and theology. As contemporary science reveals an interconnected cosmos - from quantum entanglement to ecological interdependence - his relational framework offers conceptual tools for understanding consciousness as intrinsically participatory rather than solipsistically enclosed. His vision resonates with emerging paradigms in relational psychology, which recognize that the self exists not as an isolated monad but through constitutive relationships with others and the world [2]. Yet Teilhard goes further, situating human psyche within a cosmic psychogenesis where matter itself exhibits an interior dimension - a "withinness" that becomes increasingly reflective through evolutionary complexification.



SHRINE PUBLISHERS
Crafting your Achievement

Advance Research in Psychology and Behavioural Sciences (ARPBS)

ISSN: 3069-2059

Volume 3 Issue 1, 2026

Article Information

Received date: January 02, 2026

Published date: January 12, 2026

*Corresponding author

Nicos Kaloyirou, LL.B. LL.M. barrister and solicitor, Adelaide, South Australia

DOI: 10.65070/ARPBS.2026.222

Keywords

Teilhard de Chardin; Relational psychology; Consciousness; Personhood; Christogenesis; Omega Point; Process philosophy

Distributed under:

Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0

This article explores Teilhard's revolutionary conception of personhood, consciousness, and relational being by weaving together several interconnected themes: his understanding of the evolving God and cosmic Christogenesis; his Eucharistic theology where matter becomes the vehicle of divine transformation; contemporary interpretations by Ilia Delio and Matt Segall that ground his vision in process philosophy and evolutionary science; the integration of Jungian archetypal psychology with Platonic forms to illuminate consciousness's evolutionary trajectory; and finally, the contrast with dualistic frameworks exemplified by Alfred Russel Wallace, whose spiritualism maintained the matter-spirit divide Teilhard sought to overcome.

Personhood as Evolutionary Convergence: From Matter to Spirit

The Cosmogenetic Vision

Teilhard de Chardin fundamentally reimagines the human person not as creation's finished product but as evolution made conscious of itself [3]. This radical proposition emerges from his geological and paleontological work, where fossils revealed not a static creation but an unfolding process of increasing complexity and consciousness. The human emerges from within this cosmic trajectory - we are stardust that has learned to contemplate stars.

The philosophical significance of this evolutionary anthropology cannot be overstated. Where traditional theology conceived humanity as a special creation, separate from and superior to nature, Teilhard recognizes our continuity with cosmic becoming. Yet this continuity does not reduce human consciousness to mere epiphenomenon. Rather, Teilhard proposes that consciousness has accompanied matter from the beginning as its interior dimension - what he terms the "within" counterbalancing the observable "without." [4]. This dual-aspect monism avoids both materialist reductionism and spiritualist dualism, proposing instead that radial (psychic) and tangential (physical) energies constitute complementary dimensions of a unified cosmic stuff.

The human person represents a critical threshold in this unfolding. Teilhard writes: "Reflection is the power acquired by a consciousness to turn in upon itself, to take possession of itself as an object... no longer merely to know, but to know that one knows." [5]. This capacity for self-reflection marks not merely a quantitative increase in complexity but a qualitative leap - the universe awakening to its own existence. In Teilhard's elegant formulation, "the consciousness of each of us is evolution looking at itself and reflecting upon itself." [6].

Differentiated Unity and the Omega Point

Central to Teilhard's relational psychology is his principle of "creative union": true union does not dissolve individuality but

rather personalizes and intensifies it [7]. This counterintuitive insight challenges both collectivist ideologies that subsume the individual into the mass and individualistic philosophies that construe persons as self-sufficient atoms. Instead, Teilhard proposes that personhood emerges through and deepens via relationship.

The cosmic lure toward this differentiated unity Teilhard names the Omega Point - that transcendent yet immanent center toward which evolution converges [8]. Critically, Omega is not merely a future endpoint but a present attracting force, drawing creation forward through what Teilhard calls the "energy of love." Love, in this context, is not sentimental affection but the fundamental unifying force preventing evolution's dissipation into entropy [9]. Where physics recognizes gravitational, electromagnetic, and nuclear forces binding matter, Teilhard discerns a more primordial energy binding consciousness - an *energia amans* that weaves isolated individuals into communion without dissolving their distinctiveness.

This vision finds remarkable resonance with contemporary relational psychology and systems theory, which recognize that identity formation occurs through relationships rather than in isolation from them. As Ilia Delio observes in her synthesis of Teilhardian thought with quantum physics and complexity theory, "God is not a dictator but a relational power of love that affects and is affected by creation." [10]. The self, whether human or divine, exists not as static substance but as dynamic process constituted through its relationships.

Creation, Energy, and Christogenesis: The Materiality of Spirit

The Within and Without of Matter

Teilhard's most radical contribution may be his refusal to treat matter and spirit as opposing substances. His paleontological research convinced him that matter exhibits an interior dimension from its earliest emergence. Writing in *The Phenomenon of Man*, he proposes that consciousness and matter represent not "two substances" or "two different modes of existence, but two aspects of the same cosmic stuff." [11] This dual-aspect monism allows Teilhard to trace consciousness's evolution without invoking miraculous emergence from unconscious matter.

The implications for psychology are profound. If consciousness accompanies matter from the beginning - even in rudimentary forms - then human psyche participates in a cosmic psychogenesis. This perspective transforms how we understand consciousness. Rather than treating it as an isolated phenomenon peculiar to human brains, we recognize it as matter's deepening interiority as complexity increases. The simplest particles exhibit primitive



forms of attraction and repulsion; prokaryotic cells demonstrate rudimentary sentience; multicellular organisms develop nervous systems permitting more sophisticated experience; mammals achieve emotional life; humans attain self-reflective consciousness. Each threshold represents not the sudden appearance of something alien to matter but matter's interior dimension becoming more manifest.

Love as Cosmic Energy

Perhaps Teilhard's most poetical profound yet scientifically grounded insight concerns love's cosmological status. He writes: "Love is the most universal, the most tremendous and the most mysterious of the cosmic forces." [12]. Far from being mere human emotion, love constitutes the fundamental energy preventing evolution's collapse into disintegration. Where entropy tends toward disorder, love effects synthesis - drawing disparate elements into increasingly complex unities without destroying their individual character.

Ilia Delio elaborates this insight through quantum physics and complexity theory, noting that "As a direct consequence of the unitive process by which God is revealed to us, God in some way 'transforms himself' as he incorporates us." [13]. This mutual transformation reflects love's essential nature: it changes both lover and beloved through their union. Applied cosmologically, this suggests that the universe's evolution toward greater complexity and consciousness occurs through love's unifying energy operating at every scale - from quantum entanglement to human relationship to cosmic convergence toward Omega.

Christogenesis: The Universal Christ

Teilhard's Christology extends incarnation beyond the historical Jesus to embrace the entire cosmos. Drawing on Pauline theology - particularly Colossians 1:15-20, which presents Christ as the one "in whom all things hold together" - Teilhard develops his concept of Christogenesis: the ongoing process by which creation becomes the Body of Christ [14]. This is not metaphor but metaphysics. The cosmic Christ possesses a "cosmic nature" extending through space and time, making Christ's body "the one single thing being made in creation." [15].

David Grumett's analysis of Teilhard's Eucharistic theology illuminates this vision's radical implications. In the Eucharist, Teilhard sees not merely the transformation of bread and wine but "the true Host, the total Host [which] is the universe which is continually being more intimately penetrated and vivified by Christ." [16]. This cosmic Eucharist reveals matter's sacred character - not as obstacle to spirit but as its vehicle and manifestation.

The philosophical significance emerges most clearly when contrasted with Cartesian dualism. Where Descartes divided reality into *res extensa* (extended matter) and *res cogitans* (thinking substance), creating the notorious mind-body problem, Teilhard's incarnational ontology recognizes matter's capacity to manifest spirit without requiring their separation. As Grumett notes, Teilhard's theology "shares many features with a more ancient cosmology which prevailed prior to the collapse of the notion of sacred space" - a participatory universe where divine presence permeates creation rather than standing apart from it [17].

Contemporary Synthesis: Delio, Segall, and Process Philosophy

Ilia Delio: The Not-Yet God and Theogenesis

Ilia Delio's creative extension of Teilhardian themes integrates Jungian psychology to illuminate God's becoming through evolutionary process. Her concept of the "Not-Yet God" proposes that divine potentiality actualizes through creation's unfolding - God becomes through our participation in cosmic evolution.[18] This theogenetic vision resonates with Carl Jung's insight that consciousness emergence in humanity represents God's own self-realization. Jung writes: "In the Incarnation God becomes man. This means nothing less than a world-shaking transformation of God." [19].

Delio's synthesis of theology with quantum physics and complexity theory grounds Teilhard's vision in contemporary science. She describes reality as fundamentally relational— quantum entanglement demonstrates that particles exist not as isolated entities but through constitutive relationships transcending spatial separation. Applied theologically, this suggests that God relates to creation not externally as clockmaker to mechanism but intimately as the relational power enabling existence itself. Delio writes: "Without creation something would be absolutely lacking for God, considered not in the fullness of divine being but of divine union." [20].

Her concept of "morphogenetic fields" applied to eucharistic community illustrates this relational ontology. Drawing on Rupert Sheldrake's biological hypothesis, she proposes that communities of faith constitute fields of relationship that deepen "bonds of divinity" within the world.[21] This moves beyond individualistic spirituality toward recognition that personhood emerges and matures through participation in communities - what Teilhard called "socialization" and modern psychology terms "relational development."



Matt Segall: Process Philosophy and the World-Soul

Matt Segall's integration of Whiteheadian process philosophy with Teilhardian evolution offers rigorous metaphysical grounding for consciousness's cosmic status. Following Alfred North Whitehead, Segall rejects mechanistic materialism - the view that reality consists fundamentally of inert matter governed by external laws. Instead, process philosophy proposes that nature's final real things are "actual occasions" of experience, which synthesize past influences with future possibilities to create novel unities of feeling [22].

Segall's essay "Physics of the World-Soul" argues that contemporary physics - from quantum mechanics to complexity theory - demands "a cosmologically ensouled interpretation" recognizing that "physics and chemistry are themselves studies of living organization at ecological scales other than the biological." [23]. This radically challenges scientific materialism's presumption that consciousness appears only at biological complexity thresholds, proposing instead that experience pervades nature in graduated forms from quantum events upward.

The philosophical coherence of this pan-experientialism becomes apparent when considering emergence. How does consciousness arise from presumably unconscious matter? Materialist accounts face the "hard problem" - explaining qualitative experience in terms of quantitative processes. By contrast, if experience accompanies matter from the beginning as its interior dimension, then evolutionary emergence represents not consciousness's miraculous appearance but its gradual intensification and complexification. As Whitehead writes: "Apart from the experience of actual occasions, there is nothing, nothing, nothing, bare nothingness." [24].

Segall's integration of Teilhard with process philosophy also illuminates Platonic Forms' evolutionary character. Traditional interpretations treat Forms as static archetypes existing timelessly in separate realm. Segall proposes instead a "reformation of Platonic Form" where patterns guiding biological and mental development constitute dynamic possibilities rather than fixed blueprints [25]. This evolutionary Platonism resonates with Teilhard's vision of consciousness as matter's progressive realization of its inherent potentials - what Segall terms "recollection" (anamnesis) as "dipping into a field of possibility to make sense of novelty." [26].

The Cosmic Eucharist: Matter as Sacrament

Teilhard's Mass on the World

In August 1923, celebrating Mass without the traditional elements in the Ordos Desert, Teilhard composed his "Mass on the World" - a prayer offering all creation for consecration. He writes: "The

true substance to be consecrated each day is the world's development during that day - the bread symbolizing appropriately what creation succeeds in producing, the wine (blood) what creation causes to be lost in exhaustion and suffering in the course of its effort." [27]. This extraordinary vision extends sacramental transformation beyond the altar to embrace cosmic becoming itself.

Teilhard's Eucharistic theology follows Leibniz's concept of the vinculum substantiale (substantial bond) as developed through Maurice Blondel. For Leibniz, transubstantiation involves God adding a new "bond of substance" to unite Christ's body with eucharistic elements [28]. Blondel recognized this bond's cosmological significance: "It is thus nature in its entirety and the whole of metaphysics that is called into question by the theory." [29]. Teilhard identifies this cosmic bond with Christ himself - "the true bond that holds the World together." [30].

The psychological implications are profound. If matter itself participates in sacred transformation, then human embodiment is not imprisonment of soul in flesh but opportunity for spirit's manifestation. The body becomes not obstacle to spiritual development but its necessary vehicle - what Merleau-Ponty would later call "the subject of perception." Teilhard anticipates phenomenology's recognition that consciousness is always embodied consciousness, always situated within material world that it perceives from within rather than observing from without.

Gregory of Nyssa and Transformative Consumption

Teilhard finds confirmation for his Eucharistic vision in Gregory of Nyssa's patristic theology. Gregory reverses our ordinary understanding of eating: in consuming the Eucharist, we do not assimilate it to ourselves but rather are assimilated into Christ. He writes: "The immortal Body, by being within that which receives it, changes the whole to its own nature." [31]. This transformative logic inverts subject-object relations - the host receives the communicant into itself rather than being passively received.

Philosophically, this challenge representational theories of knowledge treating consciousness as spectator contemplating external objects. Instead, knowledge becomes participatory transformation—we know by being taken into what we seek to know. Applied to cosmic scale, this suggests that humans come to know God not by standing apart observing but by being progressively incorporated into divine life through love. As Teilhard writes: "The bread of the Eucharist is stronger than our flesh; that is why it is the bread that assimilates us, and not we the bread, when we receive it." [32].

The Eucharist thus reveals creation's ultimate meaning: matter exists to manifest spirit not by being transcended but by being



transformed through love. The entire evolutionary process becomes cosmic liturgy - "one single Mass and only one Communion" unfolding across billions of years [33]. Humanity's role in this liturgy is conscious co-creative participation, what Teilhard calls "communion in action" - transforming matter through work that extends sacramental consecration into daily life [34].

Jungian Archetypes, Platonic Forms, and Evolutionary Consciousness

The Collective Unconscious and Universal Patterns

Carl Jung's psychological theory of archetypes provides a crucial bridge between Teilhard's theological vision and contemporary depth psychology. Jung proposed that human psyche includes not only personal unconscious contents but also a "collective unconscious" containing inherited patterns he termed archetypes - fundamental forms of human experience appearing across cultures in myths, dreams, and symbols [35]. These archetypes, Jung suggested, are psychological counterparts to Plato's metaphysical Forms.

The connection between Jungian and Platonic archetypes illuminates consciousness's evolutionary dimensions. Zeenia Bhat observes: "Both thinkers explore universal structures that shape human experience. For Plato, these are eternal, ideal forms; for Jung, they are primordial images emerging from the collective unconscious expressing themselves through myths, art, and symbols." [36]. Yet in Teilhardian perspective, these patterns are neither static Platonic eternals nor merely inherited psychological structures - they constitute dynamic possibilities toward which consciousness evolves.

Matt Segall's "reformation of Platonic Form" articulates this evolutionary interpretation. He argues that patterns in biology and mind represent not fixed blueprints but creative potentials actualized through evolutionary process [37]. Applied to Jungian archetypes, this suggests they are not simply inherited from past but also attract from future - anticipatory patterns drawing consciousness toward unrealized possibilities. The Self archetype, for instance, represents not just integration of psyche's contents but lure toward fuller personhood - what Teilhard would recognize as Omega's attracting influence operating within individual development.

Theogenesis: God Becoming Through Consciousness

Ilia Delio's concept of "theogenesis" synthesizes Teilhardian and Jungian insights to propose that God becomes through consciousness emergence. Drawing on Jung's observation that God requires human consciousness for self-realization, Delio

suggests that divine and human evolution constitute interdependent processes [38]. As humans achieve higher consciousness through integration of opposites, God emerges from evolutionary process's dark potentiality into light of realized actuality.

This audacious proposal challenges classical theism's immutable, impossible deity. Yet it resonates with biblical traditions recognizing God's passionate involvement with creation. As Delio notes: "All around us and within our own selves, God is in process of 'changing,' as a result of the coincidence of his magnetic power and our own thought." [39]. This dynamic divinity does not compromise divine transcendence but rather recognizes that true transcendence includes rather than excludes creation's becoming.

The psychological significance lies in recognizing that individuation - Jung's term for psychic integration - participates in cosmic process larger than individual development. When consciousness integrates shadow and accepts suffering as catalyst for growth, it contributes to God's own emergence. Peter Todd observes: "This transformation in consciousness is the divinization or re-sacralization of the world." [40]. Personal psychological work thereby gains cosmic significance - each person's integration of opposites through love advances universe's evolution toward Omega.

Suffering, Sacrifice, and the Cruciform Cosmos

The Problem of Evil in Evolution

Teilhard's evolutionary theology confronts directly the problem of evil and suffering. If God is love and creation manifests divine goodness, why does natural selection operate through competition, predation, and death? Why do earthquakes destroy cities and diseases ravage populations? Traditional theology explained suffering as punishment for Adam's sin - evil entered a good and perfect creation through human disobedience. But evolutionary science reveals suffering preceded humanity by billions of years.

Teilhard's response rejects original sin's traditional formulation. He writes: "Original sin, taken in its widest sense is not a malady specific to the earth nor is it bound up with human generation. Rather original sin is simply the law of imperfection which operates in mankind in virtue of its being in fieri" - still being created [41]. In an evolving universe, disorder necessarily accompanies emergence of order. Suffering and death are not aberrations requiring explanation but integral aspects of creativity itself.

This position resonates with Frederick Tennant's evolutionary theodicy. Tennant argued that before consciousness emerged enabling moral choice, natural processes involving suffering were



"ethically neutral, indifferent and non-moral." [42]. Only with self-consciousness does potential for sin arise—not as inherited guilt but as struggle between inherited animal tendencies and emerging moral awareness. Teilhard similarly locates sin not in a mythical first couple but in consciousness's incomplete development. Disorder persists because evolution continues.

The Cross as Evolutionary Necessity

Holmes Rolston's ecological theology deepens this perspective by recognizing that "death is what makes life possible." [43]. Throughout nature, "isolated, independent existence must be given up in order to enter into broader and potentially deeper levels of existence." [44]. Life achieves novelty through sacrifice - each organism's death becomes resource for others' flourishing. This cruciform pattern characterizes evolution from the beginning: "Long before humans arrived, the way of nature was already a via dolorosa." [45].

Jürgen Moltmann's theology of the crucified God provides complementary insight. The cross reveals not divine impassibility but God's passionate solidarity with creation's suffering. Moltmann writes: "There is no suffering that is not God's suffering; no death which has not been God's death in the history on Golgotha." [46]. God does not observe evolution's struggles from outside but participates within them, suffering evolution's pain while luring it toward love's fulfillment.

Teilhard's own reflections in *The Divine Milieu* acknowledge no cheap optimism: "On certain days the world seems a terrifying thing: huge, blind, and brutal... fire, pestilence, storms, earthquakes, or the unleashing of dark moral forces - these callously sweep away in one moment what we had laboriously built up and beautified." [47]. Yet he insists we must learn to "adore it by seeing [God] concealed within it." [48]. Suffering does not disprove divine love but reveals love's character—not coercive power imposing order but persuasive presence enabling creativity even at cost of pain.

Compassionate Participation

Ilia Delio articulates suffering's positive role in evolution through a concept of "creative suffering." She distinguishes mere endurance of pain from compassionate participation in others' suffering, which transforms pain into a source of solidarity and love [49]. This recalls Viktor Frankl's insight from concentration camps that suffering becomes meaningful when embraced for others' sake - discovering purpose even in extreme adversity.

Teilhard similarly recognizes that conscious suffering can catalyze evolutionary advance. When we respond to pain not with despair or violence but with compassion and creativity, we effect transformation not achievable through comfort and ease. As

Delio observes, drawing on Jung: "The death of Jesus in the crucifixion is the collective self-acknowledging once and for all the bankruptcy of all religious violence. The sacrifice of the collective self signifies the in-breaking of a higher level of consciousness." [50]. Suffering voluntarily accepted out of love rather than inflicted through violence becomes a catalyst for consciousness elevation.

Richard Kropf sums up the cosmic significance: "Because suffering occurs on all levels of existence, from the rending of the earth's crust and the pain of the smallest sensitive creatures, to the psychological agonies suffered by humans, it also must mean that all these levels of the universe are also destined for and ordered toward a higher, transfigured, or even resurrected existence." [51]. Suffering is not a meaningless accident but is integral to transformation - the labour pains of a cosmos giving birth to higher forms of consciousness and love.

Contrasting Visions: Alfred Russel Wallace and Dualistic Spiritualism

Spirit Versus Selection

To appreciate Teilhard's integrated vision fully, considering contrasting approaches illuminates its distinctiveness. Alfred Russel Wallace co-discovered natural selection with Darwin but diverged sharply regarding human origins. While Darwin extended materialist explanation to encompass human mental and moral capacities, Wallace insisted that "natural selection could not account for higher human faculties" and turned to "spiritualism" to explain "the origin of man's intellectual and moral nature." [52].

Wallace's dualism maintained a spirit-matter divide Teilhard sought to overcome. For Wallace, human consciousness required intervention by spiritual beings transcending natural evolution - mind could not emerge from mindless matter through selection alone. This position exemplifies the bifurcation of nature Teilhard and Whitehead challenged: treating consciousness as alien to a material world rather than its interior dimension becoming manifest.

The contrast illuminates Teilhard's radical proposal: consciousness need not be imported into evolution from outside because it accompanies matter from beginning as its "within." Where Wallace's dualism creates a philosophical puzzle of how spirit enters matter, Teilhard's dual-aspect monism recognizes that radial and tangential energies constitute complementary dimensions of a unified cosmic reality. Human consciousness then represents not miraculous intervention but natural culmination of matter's interior depth becoming self-reflective.



The Limits of Mechanism

Wallace's turn to spiritualism actually demonstrates mechanism's inadequacy for understanding life and mind - precisely Teilhard's point. Materialist mechanism treats nature as fundamentally dead, operating through blind forces and chance collisions. Wallace correctly perceived that consciousness cannot plausibly emerge from such substrate. His error lay in accepting mechanism's premises while adding a supernatural supplement rather than, like Teilhard, reconceiving nature itself as intrinsically animated.

Contemporary neuroscience often repeats Wallace's mistake in reverse. Where Wallace added spirit to explain consciousness, many neuroscientists eliminate consciousness by reducing it to brain processes - the "promissory materialism" that future neuroscience will explain away subjective experience. Both approaches accept the false dichotomy between material mechanism and spiritual supplement. Teilhard's participatory naturalism offers a third way: matter possesses interior dimension from the beginning, rendering consciousness natural outcome of complexity increase without requiring either supernatural intervention or eliminative reduction.

Conclusion: Toward Integral Consciousness

Synthesizing the Vision

Teilhard de Chardin's evolutionary theology offers contemporary psychology and spirituality a comprehensive framework for understanding personhood, consciousness, and relation. His central insights may be summarized thus:

First, personhood is not pre-given essence but evolutionary achievement. The human person emerges from cosmic process as evolution becoming conscious of itself. This situates psychology within cosmology—understanding individual development requires recognizing its participation in universe's creative advance.

Second, consciousness pervades nature as matter's interior dimension. From quantum events to human self-reflection, experience constitutes the "within" complementing physical processes' observable "without." This dual-aspect monism avoids both materialist reductionisms eliminating consciousness and dualist supernaturalism separating spirit from matter.

Third, relation constitutes reality's fundamental character. From quantum entanglement to human love to cosmic convergence toward Omega, existence manifests through relationship rather than isolation. True union differentiates and personalizes rather than dissolving individuality - the many become one while remaining distinctly themselves.

Fourth, love is not mere emotion but cosmic energy effecting unification without uniformity. Love begets the world and prevents evolution's dissipation into entropy while enabling creativity producing genuine novelty. At every scale - physical attraction, biological cooperation, conscious compassion - love operates as fundamental force binding cosmos into coherent whole.

Fifth, matter is sacred - not obstacle to spirit but its vehicle and manifestation. The Eucharist reveals creation's ultimate meaning: the entire universe becomes progressively consecrated into Christ's body through evolutionary transformation. Human work extends this cosmic liturgy by consciously participating in matter's divinization.

Sixth, suffering serves a creative function in evolutionary process. The cross reveals not divine sadism but love's costly character—God suffers with creation while luring it toward fuller being. Conscious acceptance of suffering out of compassion catalyzes consciousness elevation, transforming pain into source of solidarity and love.

Implications for Contemporary Psychology

Teilhard's vision challenges psychology to expand its horizons beyond isolated individual. Relational psychology has begun recognizing that self emerges through relationships, but Teilhard pushes further: relationships extend beyond the human sphere to encompass the entire cosmos. Healing fractured psyche requires not only repairing interpersonal connections but also restoring felt participation in nature's creative becoming.

His integration of Jungian archetypes with evolutionary cosmology suggests that individuation participates in universal process - personal psychological growth contributes to cosmos' evolution toward Omega. This grants individual development cosmic significance while situating it within larger story. Therapy becomes not merely adjustment to social norms but facilitation of each person's unique contribution to collective transformation.

The recognition of consciousness as cosmic rather than an exclusively human phenomenon also challenges anthropocentric assumptions. If experience pervades nature in graduated forms, then the ethical circle widens beyond human community to encompass all sentient beings. Deep ecology and ecopsychology receive theoretical grounding in Teilhard's vision of evolutionary solidarity.

The Not-Yet Future

Ilia Delio's concept of the "Not-Yet God" suggests that future remains genuinely open. Divine potentiality actualizes through creation's free choices - our decisions literally make a difference in



what God becomes. This restores human agency its full dignity: we are co-creators with God of the cosmos' ongoing emergence rather than merely observers of predetermined plan.

Yet this freedom comes with responsibility. As Teilhard recognized, evolution can advance or regress consciousness can deepen toward love or fragment into isolation. Nuclear weapons and ecological crisis demonstrate that humanity has achieved power to destroy Earth's life-supporting systems. Whether we navigate this threshold successfully depends on cultivating relational consciousness recognizing our profound interdependence with all beings.

Teilhard's vision offers hope grounded in recognition that love constitutes reality's deepest truth. Despite suffering, despite evil, despite cosmic scale that can induce nihilistic despair, love operates as fundamental creative force drawing scattered fragments toward communion. The Omega Point shines ahead not as distant future but as present lure felt in every act of compassion, every moment of beauty, every instance of genuine understanding between persons.

As Matt Segall observes, contemporary science cries out for ensouled interpretation - quantum physics reveals a participatory universe where observer and observed co-create reality; complexity theory demonstrates emergence of higher order from relational interaction; ecology shows that organisms and environments constitute integrated wholes. Teilhard anticipated these insights, providing metaphysical framework for their integration.

His greatest gift may be restoring sense of meaning and purpose to evolutionary process without resorting to supernatural deus ex machina. The universe is not blind mechanism grinding toward heat death but creative advance animated by love, progressively awakening to its own existence through increasingly complex forms of consciousness. We are that awakening - evolution becoming self-aware and capable of consciously directing its own trajectory.

Standing in tradition extending from Plato through Gregory of Nyssa and Leibniz to Whitehead and Jung, Teilhard weaves scientific insight and spiritual wisdom into a comprehensive vision of reality as relational becoming. His Mass on the World consecrates the entire cosmos, inviting participation in ongoing Christogenesis where matter and spirit, human and divine, many and one, achieve increasingly profound integration.

The final word belongs to Teilhard himself, capturing his vision's essence: "The day will come when, after harnessing space, the winds, the tides, and gravitation, we shall harness for God the

energies of love. And on that day, for the second time in the history of the world, we shall have discovered fire." [53].

References

1. Pierre Teilhard de Chardin (1962) letter dated August 26, 1923, in Letters from a Traveller (New York: Harper & Row,) pp. 124-125.
2. Jordan JV (1991) For development of relational psychology. Women's Growth in Connection (New York: Guilford Press).
3. Pierre Teilhard de Chardin (1959) The Phenomenon of Man, trans. Bernard Wall (New York: Harper & Row,) pp. 221.
4. Ibid p. 56-64.
5. Ibid pp. 165.
6. Ibid pp. 221.
7. (1968) For Teilhard's concept of creative union, see his "My Universe," in Science and Christ, trans. René Hague (London: Collins,) p. 37-85.
8. Teilhard de Chardin, The Phenomenon of Man pp. 257-272.
9. Ibid pp. 264-265.
10. Delio I (2020) Suffering and Sacrifice in an Unfinished Universe: The Energy of Love, Religions 11(7): 335.
11. Teilhard de Chardin, The Phenomenon of Man, p. 56.
12. (1980) Teilhard de Chardin, quoted in Ursula King, Towards a New Mysticism: Teilhard de Chardin and Eastern Religions (New York: Seabury Press,) pp. 176.
13. Delio (1965) Suffering and Sacrifice, 335; quoting Pierre Teilhard de Chardin, Hymn of the Universe, trans. Simon Bartholomew (New York: Harper & Row,) p. 53.
14. See Ewert Cousins (1971) Teilhard de Chardin and the Religious Phenomenon," in Process Theology, ed. Ewert Cousins (New York: Newman Press) pp. 242-260.
15. Teilhard de Chardin (1971) Christianity and Evolution, trans. René Hague (New York: Harcourt Brace Jovanovich,) pp. 181.
16. Grumett D (2007) The Eucharistic Cosmology of Teilhard de Chardin. Theology 110(853): 22-30.



17. Ibid p. 28.

18. Delio I (2023) *The Not-Yet God: Carl Jung, Teilhard de Chardin, and the Relational Whole* (Maryknoll, NY: Orbis Books,).

19. Carl Jung (2013) "Answer to Job," in *The Portable Jung*, ed. Joseph Campbell (New York: Penguin, 1976), 745-746; quoted in Peter Todd, "Teilhard and Other Modern Thinkers on Evolution, Mind and Matter," *Teilhard Studies* 66: 6.

20. Delio Suffering and Sacrifice. pp. 335.

21. Delio I *Evolution: An Extraordinary Re-Turn to the Primacy of Christ*, Omega Center for Sustainable Spirituality.

22. Whitehead AN (1979) *Process and Reality*, corrected ed., ed. David Ray Griffin and Donald W. Sherburne (New York: The Free Press,) p. 18-25.

23. Segall MD (2014) *Physics of the World-Soul: The Relevance of Alfred North Whitehead's Philosophy of Organism to Contemporary Scientific Cosmology*. *Ecozoic Studies*, p. 3.

24. Whitehead, *Process and Reality* pp. 167.

25. For Segall's reformation of Platonic Form, see his "Science and Religion in a Participatory Cosmos," *Footnotes to Plato*, March 20, 2025

26. Segall, *Physics of the World-Soul*, p. 55.

27. (2023) Teilhard de Chardin, letter of August 26, 1923, in *Letters from a Traveller*, quoted in "Best of 2023: Centenary of Teilhard de Chardin's *Mass on the World*," *Eureka Street*, pp. 124-125.

28. Leibniz G (1969) letter of September 20, 1712, in *Philosophical Papers and Letters*, ed. Leroy E. Loemker (Dordrecht: Reidel,), 607; discussed in Grumett, "Eucharistic Cosmology," p. 23.

29. Maurice Blondel, *A historical enigma: Leibniz's 'vinculum substantiale'* (Paris: Beauchesne, 1930), 83; quoted in Grumett, "Eucharistic Cosmology," 23.

30. Teilhard de Chardin (1968) "The Mystical Milieu," in *Writings in Time of War*, trans. René Hague (London: Collins,) pp. 142.

31. Gregory of Nyssa (1961) *The Great Catechism*, §37, in *Nicene and Post-Nicene Fathers of the Christian Church*, series II, vol. V (Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans,), 504-506; quoted in Grumett, "Eucharistic Cosmology," p. 24.

32. Teilhard de Chardin, "My Universe," in *Science and Christ*, 76.

33. Teilhard de Chardin (2001) *The Divine Milieu*, trans. Bernard Wall (New York: Harper Perennial Modern Classics,) p. 85-86.

34. Merton T (1979) "The Universe as Epiphany," in *Love and Living*, ed. Naomi Burton Stone and Patrick Hart (London: Sheldon,) pp. 183.

35. Jung C (1969) *Archetypes of the Collective Unconscious*. In: *The Collected Works of Jung CG*, trans. R.F.C. Hull (Princeton: Princeton University Press), 9(1).

36. Bhat Z (2025) *Journeys Within and Beyond: Jungian Archetypes and Platonic Ideals*. *Inverse Journal*.

37. Segall *Science and Religion in a Participatory Cosmos*.

38. Delio *The Not-Yet God*, discussed in "Suffering and Sacrifice. pp. 335.

39. Teilhard de Chardin, *Hymn of the Universe* p. 53.

40. Todd *Teilhard and Other Modern Thinkers*, p. 8.

41. Teilhard de Chardin, *Christianity and Evolution*, 51; discussed in Delio, "Suffering and Sacrifice," pp. 335.

42. Brannan DK (2007) *Darwinism and Original Sin: Frederick R. Tennant's Integration of Darwinian Worldviews into Christian Thought in the Nineteenth Century*. *Journal for Interdisciplinary Research on Religion and Science* 1: 193.

43. Rolston H (2001) *Kenosis and Nature*. In: *The Work of Love: Creation as Kenosis*, ed. John Polkinghorne (Grand Rapids, MI: William B. Eerdmans) p. 59.

44. Hayes ZA (1997) *Window to the Divine: A Study of Christian Creation Theology*. *Cord* 46: 91.

45. Rolston Kenosis and Nature p. 59-60.

46. Moltmann J (1993) *The Crucified God: The Cross of Christ as the Foundation and Criticism of Christian Theology*, trans. R.A. Wilson and John Bowden (New York: Fortress Press,) pp. 246.

47. Teilhard de Chardin, *The Divine Milieu* pp. 112.

48. Ibid.



49. Delio Suffering and Sacrifice pp. 335.

50. Burns CP (2005) Honesty about God: Theological Reflections on Violence in an Evolutionary Universe. *Theology and Science* 4(3): 286.

51. Kropf R (1984) Evil and Evolution: A Theodicy (Cranbury, NJ: Associated Universities,) p. 172.

52. Kottler MJ, Wallace AR (1974) The Origin of Man, and Spiritualism *Isis*. 65(2): 144-192.

53. Teilhard de Chardin (1975) The Evolution of Chastity. In: *Toward the Future*, trans. René Hague (San Diego: Harvest) p. 86-87.